# THE STATE OF ARIZONA CITIZENS CLEAN ELECTIONS COMMISSION

REPORTER'S REVISED TRANSCRIPT OF PUBLIC MEETING

Phoenix, Arizona
March 30, 2006
9:30 a.m.

(Original)

Reported By:

Angela Furniss Miller, RPR Certified Reporter (AZ 50127)

Page 2 Page 4 A PUBLIC MEETING, BEFORE THE CITIZENS CLEAN Commissioner Scaramazzo and seconded by Commissioner ELECTIONS COMMISSION, convened at 9:30 a.m. on March 30, Kunasek that we approve the minutes of March 15, 2006 as 2006, at the State of Arizona, Clean Elections 3 prepared. 4 Commission, 1616 W. Adams, Conference Room, Phoenix, Arizona, in the presence of the following Board members: All in favor say "aye." Ms. Marcia Busching, Chairperson 5 (Chorus of ayes.) Mr. Gary Scaramazzo 8 Ms. Ermila Jolley 6 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Opposed, nay? 9 Mr. Carl Kunasek 7 Chair votes ave. Motion carries. 10 OTHERS PRESENT: 11 8 Next item is the Executive Director's report. Mr. Todd Lang, Executive Director 9 Mr. Lang? 12 Ms. Paula Ortiz, Executive Assistant Ms. Colleen McGee, Fiscal Service Manager 10 MR. LANG: Thank you, Madame Chair. Good 13 Mr. Michael Becker, Voter Education Manager morning, Commissioners. 11 Ms. Christina Murphy, Administrative Assistant 14 12 COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: Good morning. Ms. Cindy Stutesman, Administrative Assistant 13 MR. LANG: You have your -- you have my report 15 14 in front of you. There's a couple issues I wanted to Ms. Diana Varela, Assistant Attorney General 16 Ms. Barbara Lubin, Clean Elections Institute advise you on that I didn't think were ready for the Mr. Richard Mays, Citizen agenda yet. One is the issue of the value of voter 17 Ms. Lauren Lowe, Perkins Coie Brown & Bain Ms. Jan Brewer, Secretary of State 17 lists. That was raised by a participating candidate. Ms. Nancy Read, Secretary of State's Office It's an interesting question and I'll -- I'm looking Mr. Arthur R. Rosen, Aircraft Owners and Pilots 19 Association 19 into it and it should be on the agenda for the next Ms. Jan Smith Florez, Candidate for Governor 20 meeting. Ms. Kim Demarchi, Lewis & Roca 21 As you know, we said good-bye to Genevra Mr. Mike Brewer, Citizen 21 Ms. Elizabeth Tuttle, Citizen Richardson. I certainly enjoyed working with her and I 22 Ms. Jenna Broadbent, OMA 22 23 know the staff did as well. She did a great job. She 2.3 24 was very sharp on policy. And we have posted the job 24 and we are in the process of receiving and soliciting Page 3 Page 5 PROCEEDING resumés, and so that's going well. 2 2 We're working with the office of the Secretary 3 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: This is the meeting of of State on a software issue regarding Excel the Citizens Clean Elections Commission. The place is spreadsheets and the \$5 contributions and how you report 1616 West Adams, Suite 110, Phoenix, Arizona 85007. The those on the campaign finance report. There's a slight 6 date is Thursday, March 30th, 2006 at 9:30 a.m. incompatibility and they've really gone after that and I 7 I want to give notice that the Commission may think it should be resolved shortly. 8 vote to go into executive session which will not be open 8 And I attended a debate on Clean Elections at 9 the Goldwater Institute last night which was quite to the public for any item listed on the agenda for 9 obtaining legal advice. Also minutes of and discussions interesting and enjoyable. I can tell you about that 11 made in an executive discussion are confidential 11 after the meeting if you'd like. 12 pursuant to ARS 38-431.03(B) and shall not be released 12 We have right now, I'll update you, there are 13 to anyone unless specifically authorized by law. 132 participating candidates. The roster is growing 14 All matters on the agenda may be discussed, every day. And as you see there, candidate for 15 considered, and are subject to action by the Commission. 15 Superintendant of Public Instruction Tom Horne has 16 The agenda starts out with the first item, call 16 received his funding. Senate candidate Albert Melvin, 17 to order, which has already been done. And the second 17 his application is pending. 18 18 item is approval of the March 15th, 2006 Commission On voter education, we can update you. Mike 19 meeting minutes. actually attended another workshop down in Tucson last 19 20 Are there any additions or corrections? night and he reports that it went very well. We 20 21 If not, the Chair will entertain a 21 certainly thank Mike for that. 22 22 motion. We are still working on the debate sponsors and 23 COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: So moved. added a couple new ones since the last meeting and that 24 COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: Second. recruitment process is ongoing. If you know someone in 25 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: It's been moved by Pinetop who would like to sponsor a debate there, we

Page 6 Page 8 would love to have them. and said this is the problem. 2 You see we're getting ready to meet with --2 COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: Okay. meet with the sponsors. That's tomorrow. So, that's --3 MR. LANG: So they were aware of the problem. that's going well. COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: Then do they have the 5 Enforcement. You see where we are there. 5 opportunity to sign it and resubmit it? MR. LANG: Sure. In fact, that's happened. 6 Nothing new to report. 6 And so unless you have questions, that 7 COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: Okay. 8 concludes my report. 8 MR. LANG: That's happened. 9 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Commissioner Kunasek? 9 COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: All right. Thank you. COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: Yes, Madame Chair. I do 10 10 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Commissioner Jolley? 11 have a question. On the enforcement subject, 2006 two 11 COMMISSIONER JOLLEY: I would just like to complaints were filed and no action was taken. What's 12 comment to Todd, that I'm glad to see the community the process when a complaint is filed? 1.3 colleges are getting involved in the debate sponsorship 14 MR. LANG: Well, there's --14 in the outlying areas like Mohave County, Coconino 15 COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: Who determines that 15 County be included. 16 there will be an action or no action? 16 MR. LANG: Madame Chair, Commissioner Jolley, 17 MR. LANG: Madame Chair, Commissioner Kunasek, 17 Paula, Mike, and Christina are working hard and the way the process works is when we receive a recruiting hard with the community colleges. We agree complaint, the staff reviews it to make sure that it they're a wonderful resource and would do a great job. 19 complies with sort of the procedural and technical 20 And when they're willing, we are willing. 21 requirements as it's sworn to, et cetera, et cetera, et 21 COMMISSIONER JOLLEY: Thank you. 22 cetera. 22 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Any other questions or 23 Once that's done, assuming it doesn't meet 2.3 comments for Mr. Lang? 24 those requirements, then it's rejected and sent back to 24 If not, we'll move to Item IV, discussion and 25 the person filing the complaint. If it meets those possible action on delegation to Executive Director the Page 9 Page 7 requirements, then I would review it and make a authority to issue no action letters in regards to \$5 2 recommendation to the Commission as to whether to take 2 contribution form. 3 3 Mr. Lang? action or no action and then we proceed from there. 4 COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: So then the MR. LANG: Thank you, Madam Chair, 5 Commissioners. As you know, our Rule 703(B) allows recommendation that you bring forth, provided of course 6 it's all technically correct and properly filed -- I'm candidates to request a no action letter on a planned campaign expenditure or fund-raising activity. And what trying to figure out, are you the prosecutor then? Or are you the prosecutor that determines which action or 8 we find is that there are some routine matters that come 9 up constantly with staff and one of those is approval of should there be action taken? \$5 forms. 10 MR. LANG: I wouldn't characterize my role as 10 11 prosecutor. I would characterize my role as an 11 As you know, there's a clear set of 12 administrator. I make a recommendation and the 12 requirements as to what those \$5 forms must have, and, 13 Commission ultimately has to go through the various in fact, we have a checklist in our office that we use 14 steps. The enforcement is actually done by the and go over with candidates. And so we'd like -- we 15 would like delegation or specific approval to issue Assistant Attorney General. So if we have to proceed to 15 an administrative hearing or like that, that would be those \$5 -- I mean, to action those no action letters 16 17 handled by the Assistant Attorney General. regarding the forms, because it's rather a pro forma 18 process. It's a checklist process. So, we would like COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: At the step of the 18 19 review by the staff for technical sufficiency, is then 19 that authority. the complaint returned to the complainant with the 20 Because, frankly, it would take up -- I believe 21 issues that they did not submit properly outlined? 21 it would take up too much of your time and also delay 2.2 the process too long. We want to be able to get them MR. LANG: In my habit and practice, yes. We alert -- we say that, for instance, we received a 23 back to the candidate as quickly as possible.

complaint at one point that was sworn to but it had no

signature which was odd, and so we returned it to them

24

CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay. Ms. Varela, do

25 you have any comments on this matter?

Page 12 Page 10 MS\_VARELA: I don't. We've talked about it 1 that does exactly what you just talked about. 105(B) 2 and we -allows the candidates to develop their own form as long CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: You're fine with that? as the form substantially complies with the forms MS. VARELA: -- we're fine with that. prescribed by the Commission. I think though the added 5 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Mr. Kunasek? step is that a lot of candidates will do that, but they COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: Madame Chairperson, is want the assurance that it's okay to move forward. And this -- has there been any thought given to having a this just gives Todd that flexibility. Because those standard form? A form available from this Commission, requests come -- especially now that more people are 9 and if the candidate doesn't use it, you don't accept going to be doing that. It gives Todd the flexibility 10 it. to do that instead of wait until the next meeting, 11 MR. LANG: Madam Chair? which, you know, you're only meeting once a month and 12 COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: The candidate would have 12 the candidates want to start collecting. 13 13 to get their forms printed up based on the pattern COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: There's only one word provided by this office. And knowing then that the 14 that troubles me, "substantially." That's a term of art pattern was right, any deviation from that pattern would 15 that is subject to argumentation I would think. 16 not be acceptable. 16 MR. LANG: Sure. 17 MR. LANG: Madame Chairman, Commissioner 17 MS. VARELA: Sure. 18 Kunasek. We have thousand of pre-printed forms 18 COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: Take it out. available in boxes in our office. So, they're readily 19 MS. RICHARDSON: That's at the Commission's 20 available and we certainly encourage people to use 20 pleasure. You can do that. But it's in a rule so you 21 those. I think though that if you precluded the use of 21 would have to go there through the process. 22 22 campaign's own forms that comply with our requirements, COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: Sure. I understand. 23 you would have here a huge cry from both parties that 23 MS. VARELA: It's at the Commission's pleasure 24 was almost unanimous. In fact, we have several 2.4 to do that with that. 25 candidates here today and I bet if you asked for a show 25 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Is there anyone from the Page 11 Page 13 of hands, you would have no hands. public that wishes to speak to this matter? 2 2 And the reason is, folks want to be able to Okay. Any discussion by the Commission? 3 sort of tailor the form to meet the requirements of 3 If not, the Chair will entertain a their particular campaigns. And what we found is that motion. seems to work well so long as they meet the statutory COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: Well, I would move, requirements that we need. So, we want to accommodate Madame Chair, that we -- the Executive Director be 7 them as much as we can. granted the authority to issue the no action letters 8 COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: Well, my point I guess 8 with regard to the \$5 contribution form. 9 9 COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: I'll second that. is the form must have -- there's a minimum basic 10 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay. It's been moved requirement of information. 11 MR. LANG: That's right. 11 by Commissioner Kunasek and seconded by Commissioner 12 COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: Whatever way the Scaramazzo that we delegate to the Executive Director candidate wants to have that form printed with his name the authority no action letters in regard to the \$5 or whatever, as long as it has the minimum required 14 contribution form. 15 information. 15 Any further discussion? MR. LANG: And that's what the no action letter If not, the Chair will call for the 16 16 17 is about. It's saying: You have that basic information question, all in favor say "aye." that we require, you're good to go. But candidates want 18 (Chorus of ayes.) assurance of that. That's why they want the no action 19 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Opposed, nay? letter. They don't want to go out there collecting all 20 Chair votes aye. Motion carries. these \$5 forms only to find that the Commission says 21 Item V, discussion and possible action on no these are invalid. So they want that assurance and action letter request for Janet Napolitano 2006 23 that's why we provide the service. 23 regarding acceptance of \$5 contributions via joint check 24 MS. VARELA: Chairperson, if I might? 24 accounts.

25

Mr. Lang?

Commissioner Kunasek, there's already a rule in place

MR. LANG: Thank you, Madame Chair. There is

an interesting issue that creeped up this election
 cvcle. And what you have here is -- Ms. Demarc

cycle. And what you have here is -- Ms. Demarchi is

here today. What you have is a request by her on behalf

5 of the Napolitano campaign. That folks that turn in --

6 couples that turn in two \$5 slips, one for one spouse

and one for the other spouse, but only one check because

8 it's a joint account for \$10, be permitted to do so even

9 if the check is only signed by one person.

10 They make an interesting statutory argument

11 that basically suggests that those two \$5 contribution

12 forms are the specification required by the statute to

13 permit this to happen. I actually think it's a good

14 idea and I'd recommend it except for one thing, the

5 Commission needs to balance, which is we have several

16 candidates who -- who have collected the \$5

17 contributions under the instruction we gave them which

18 is you need the signature of both spouses. And I know

19 staff is concerned about that because that's what

20 they've been telling folks in our education meeting.

So, while I find this letter to raise an

2 interesting and valid point, I am concerned about the

23 candidates that's been out there doing it the way we

4 asked them to do it. So, I think that's something to

25 consider.

21

2

9

Page 16

 $1 \quad \mbox{resulted}$  in the removal from office of a corporation

2 commissioner.

3 I would be very careful if I were Governor

Napolitano and I'd want a separate check. If they went

5 to the trouble to fill out a separate form, I would

6 certainly want a separate check to preclude any question

7 arising as to was it in fact from both parties or did

8 both parties agree. Even though the wife or the husband

9 may have signed and may not have realized fully what

10 they were signing.

11

24

5

8

17

CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Mr. Lang?

MR. LANG: Madame Chair, Commissioner Kunasek,

13 I agree. That's the thing I always tell all the

14 campaign: When in doubt, don't do it. Abundance of

15 caution is always the better way to go.

16 I think the contrary argument though here would

17 be, well, we don't have that problem that you elude to

18 in the Supreme Court case because here we have the

19 indication that both parties are fully cognizant of the

20 donation because we have both parties signing a \$5 form.

21 So we don't have the problem of someone denying the

22 check was from them as well.

23 COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: Okay. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: As to your concern, Mr.

25 Lang, about the other candidates, would this be -- if we

Page 15

CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay. Commissioner
Jolley?

3 COMMISSIONER JOLLEY: Yes. I have a question,

4 Todd. Well, whether you sign a check or not, how would

5 you differentiate if someone gave you \$10? If a husband

took \$10 out of his wallet and your wife filled out a form and you filled out a form, I mean, how would you

8 differentiate between that?

Say, well, I gave her \$5 change and she gave it

10 back to me.

MR. LANG: Right. And that happens.

12  $\,$  Candidates -- I mean, contributors give \$10 -- a \$10

bill with two slips and that's perfectly acceptable.

14 COMMISSIONER JOLLEY: Okay.

15 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Commissioner Kunasek?

16 COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: I'm a little troubled by

7 it for the following reason, there's a Supreme Court

18 case in Arizona which I believe it was a joint account,

19 both -- both man and wife had the ability to sign on the

20 account, and a contribution was made to a candidate.

21 Forgive me because it's been a number of years as far as

22 the details of it.

But the candidate [sic] -- in this case the

24 husband -- denied that he had any role in providing the

5 campaign contribution that the wife made. And that case

Page 17

were to approve this no action letter, would this be

something that could be turned into a policy statement

3 to be approved at the next meeting or is that something

that would take a longer period of time?

MR. LANG: I -- Madame Chair, I think it could

be a policy statement, but that doesn't change the fact

we have candidates who have already been funded --

CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Right.

9 MR. LANG: -- who went to the effort of going

back and sending checks back to people saying, hey, we

11 need a new check from both of you, or signed by both of

12 you, or whatever.

13 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Right. I understand.

MR. LANG: I guess my point is, I guess this is

15 a good policy and I agree with Ms. Demarchi's analysis.

16 I'm just concerned about --

CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay.

18 COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: This is the first

19 time this question has been raised though, correct?

MR. LANG: It's been raised in the past, but,

21 frankly, I've never been persuaded by the folks who

22 agree with Ms. Demarchi until I read her letter. I

23 think it was pretty persuasive.

24 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: I note that there's a

5 member of the public that wishes to speak. We're going

to limit comments today to two minutes because we have a 2 lot of policy things to go through.

3 MS. LUBIN: Sure. I can just stand here. Barbara Lubin with the Clean Elections Institute and candidate in 2002.

And I'm fully in favor of this change in

6

12

13

7

8

policy. It's a real pain to get people from all over this valley, and in fact the entire state, filling out these separate forms because both of them didn't sign a joint account, to have to track them down and get them 11 to resubmit a check. I've gone through that.

And regarding Mr. Lang's concern about a change in this policy here in late March of an election cycle,

I still think that it's an appropriate change to make.

15 And I note that in the 2000 election that the 16 Commission that was in place at the time insisted that all candidates use the state-provided three-part form.

That was -- that was the necessity, even though I had

19 requested back in September that we be able to use the

20 Internet to have a one-part form. Well, when more

21 candidates started running and it got to be the late

spring and the Commission realized what a burden it is

23 if you did not have that exact form when you were up in

Page or down in Yuma, that you couldn't get a \$5 form,

they made a change that people could download a form in

Page 20

We were all following the little blue book that you all give to us in the workshop. And it says very

carefully for the last six or seven years, "If a married

couple contributes a \$10 check" -- in bold languages --

"both spouses must sign the check for it to be

considered two valid qualifying contributions, and each

spouse must sign and date the three-part form." Now --

or one check, two forms.

9

20

21

So, I mean, here we are, the races have begun, and now we're changing the rules because somebody doesn't want to comply with it. Now, this has been on the books and been enforced by this Commission. And now after I did an enormous amount of work which I would 14 assume a lot of candidates have done out there because we know how hard and difficult it is. But we were all following the rules we were instructed to do. 16 17

If you pursue and -- and change the policy, I would, you know, I think that I'd have to ask you if you would not consider reimbursing me for the time, energy, money, and sweat that I spent because I followed the rules as a Clean Elections candidate.

22 So, Madame Chairman, I, you know, I don't want 2.3 to be treated differently. I don't want anybody else to

be treated differently. But the rules were the rules.

We all knew it when we choose to become Clean Elections

Page 19

order to receive those contributions and then make

2 duplicate copies. 3

So, I just want to point out that the Commission has changed policy on things in the middle of

the campaign for the ease of the process and to encourage people to be involved in the process. So, I'm

in favor of this change. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Thank you. I see that 9 another member of the public wishes to speak. Once again, we're limiting comments to two minutes.

11 MS. BREWER: Thank you, Madame Chairman and 12 members of the Commission. I have some concerns about this. This is what all the candidates that have elected to run as Clean Elections has followed. And we have

15 done this and I have done this since August, September,

following the rules. You've already had 20 workshops 17 people have come to. This law or this rule has been in

place since the inception and it is not easy but it 18

19 doesn't seem fair now to change the rules.

20 Those of us who have complied, we had to send 21 the check back, we had to wait to get it signed, keep

track of it, we had to accompany it with a letter, we

had to send a self-stamped envelope so we would know we would get the \$5 bill back. And, you know, we were all

following the rules and the law.

candidates. Thank you.

2 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Thank you. Anyone else 3 wish to speak? Yes.

Page 21

MS. DEMARCHI: Madame Chairwoman,

Commissioners. My name is Kim Demarchi and I'm here on

6 behalf of the governor's reelection campaign today. Let

me start out by assuring you that there seems to be some

misimpression as to the campaign's intention to follow

the law. The campaign specifically instructed everyone

who volunteered to solicit contributions, specifically

put on its website where you can download the

contribution form: Spouses both have to sign the check.

We never told anyone anything different. We never tried

14 not to follow the guidance of the Commission in this

15 regard.

16 The problem we ran into, is that hundreds of 17 people didn't understand what they were supposed to do.

We have at least 200 \$10 contributions from married 18

couples, each of whom expressed a specific intent to

20 authorize half of that contribution to be made in their

21 name. That's the only reason we've come forward.

22 Because there are 400 citizens of the state of Arizona

23 that thought they did it right.

24 I would suggest to you that if you read

carefully the authorities in the letter, the only place

Page 25

## Page 22

- where the suggestion that both spouses have to sign the
- 2 check comes within the candidate guide. It is not in
- 3 this Commission's rules, it is not in the Commission's
- 4 substantive policy statements, it is not in the statute.
- 5 What Arizona statute says is a contribution on a check
- from a joint account is attributed to the person who
- signed the check, unless the check is received with a
- 8 specific direction that the money should be split
- 9 differently.
- 10 So what Arizona law says is, if you get a check
- 11 for \$10 or you're running conventional and you get check
- 12 for \$200 and it comes with a letter signed by me and my
- 13 husband that says, attribute half of it to Kim and half
- 14 of it to Eddie, what Arizona law says to do is attribute
- 15 it between the two candidates. I have enormous respect
- 16 for the campaigns that have struggled to comply with
- 17 this guidance and I don't mean any disrespect by it.
- 18 But, rest assured, we're doing this because 400 people
- 19 want to give this money and we assume lots of people
- 20 want to give money like this to other candidates too.
- 21 We're trying to make sure they can give that money.
- 22 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Thank you. Anyone else
- 23 wish to speak to this?
- 24 If not, we'll turn to discussion by the
- 25 Commissioners or a motion.

1 the effort it takes to write two checks is not much more

- 2 than the effort of two people to sign the same check.
- a than the effort of two people to sign the same effect
- 3 So, I really don't see where the problem is,
- 4 but I will accept that if there is a problem, it should
- 5 be changed. But I think on the other hand, it should be
- 6 changed in the next election cycle.
- COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: I guess that's what
- 8 I'm struggling with also. It's just after the fact. I
- 9 wish this would have been brought up, you know, coulda,
- 10 shoulda, woulda, but it wasn't.
- And I do have concerns for the candidates who
- 12 have been out there following our rules and come in
- 13 after the fact to change that. That doesn't sit real
- 4 well. And even though I think it's a great policy
- 15 change, the timing doesn't really sit well with me right
- 16 now.

21

2

6

- 17 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay. And keep in mind
- 18 everyone that right now we're on agenda Item V, which is
- 9 only a no action letter request. So we're not -- it's
- 20 not the actual -- an actual policy change.
  - COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: I understand that.
- 22 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: All right.
- 23 COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: Maybe I should have an
- 24 explanation of what it means with regard to this. What
- 25 does a no action letter mean?

## Page 23

- 1 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: I'll turn to Mr. Lang.
  - MR. LANG: Madame Chair, Commissioner Kunasek,
  - 3 it's basically an opportunity for a campaign to get
  - 4 assurances from the Commission that they won't face an
  - 5 enforcement action.
  - COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: So this --
  - 7 MR. LANG: In other words, if they go ahead and
  - 8 submit these slips, somehow we won't attempt to
  - 9 invalidate them or otherwise enforce it against them.
    - invalidate them of otherwise emorce it against them.
    - 10 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Mr. Lang, I have a
    - 11 question in that regard. Since we're not the ones that
    - 12 review and approve them anyway, it's done by the
    - 13 Secretary of State's office and/or the County -- County
    - 14 Recorders -- I guess it's County Recorders. I mean, do
    - 15 we really have -- I mean, is this really something we

    - 16 should be taking action on?
    - MS. VARELA: Well, the -- the contributions go
    - 18 to the candidate and they write one check to the
    - 19 Secretary of State's office. All of the qualifying
    - 20 contribution forms get submitted to the Secretary of
    - $2\,1$   $\,$  State's office and then they process them. In terms of
    - 22 determining whether -- they do a sampling and determine
    - 23 whether there's enough of those based on some
    - 24 statistical analysis that they do.
    - 25 And in terms of the money -- and Kim may know

rage 23

Well, to get discussion going, I've heard good arguments on both sides, but I think that to the extent

- 3 that we can stay within the law but make it easier on
- 4 campaigns that we should try to do so. And since the
- 5 issue has not been -- has not been dealt with before, I
- 3 Issue has not been -- has not been dean with before
- 6 don't think that it's a situation where we've told or
- 7 where we specifically have required somebody -- other 8 than as I understand to be in the candidate guide -- to
- 9 do something that we're now going to reverse.
- So, I personally am in favor of the no action
- 11 letter request and incorporating it into a policy
- 12 statement as soon as possible. But I'm open to
- 13 influence by my fellow Commissioners.
  - Commissioner Jolley?

- 15 COMMISSIONER JOLLEY: Yes. Well, I agree with
- 16 you, because, like I stated before, someone gives you
- 17 \$10, how are you going to differentiate between who gave
- 18 \$5 and who gave \$5. So I'm in favor of it.
- 19 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Commissioner Kunasek?
- 20 COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: If the Commission
- 21 decides to change or to modify the policy in midstream,
- 22 I cannot agree with that. If the policy is going to be
- 23 modified, it should be in the next election cycle that24 -- after this election cycle is completed.
- 25 I would -- would certainly hope that -- that

	Page 26		Page 28
1	this too but all of the money that the candidate	1	that context this this could arise as well. So
2	collects gets submitted to the Secretary of State's	2	All right. Further discussion?
3	office in one check. So, they're not going to keep all	3	COMMISSIONER JOLLEY: I have a question.
4	these \$5, \$10, \$20 bills in individual checks. They're	4	CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Yes.
5	going to deposit them into their campaign account and	5	COMMISSIONER JOLLEY: So, you actually have
6	then write one check to the Secretary of State's office	6	those that support you sending you cash in an envelope?
7	along with all those contribution forms.	7	I mean, cash cash, like \$5 or \$10, is that correct,
8	CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay. And my question	8	through the mail?
9	is, is it within our jurisdiction to go back and review	9	CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: They have the ability
10	the candidate's records to determine whether they	10	to.
11	received the money in the form of cash, or in the form	11	COMMISSIONER JOLLEY: Okay.
12	of a check written by two people, or check written by	12	CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Yeah.
13	one person, or what? And is that something that we get	13	MR. LANG: I wouldn't recommend it, but
14	into?	14	CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Someone want to make a
15	MR. LANG: Well, Madame Chair, it certainly can	15	motion?
16	come up in some sort of enforcement action where there's	16	Commissioner Jolley?
17	an allegation that all the all the checks were	17	COMMISSIONER JOLLEY: Yes. I would move that
18	fictitious or there was some sort of allegation of fraud	18	we accept the no action letter requested from Janet
19	or misdeeds. We certainly have the authority to	19	Napolitano's 2006 regarding acceptance of \$5
20	subpoena those checks as part of their campaign finance	20	contributions via joint checking account.
21	record and look at them.	21	COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: I'd make a substitute
22	So, although you're correct that, you know, the	22	motion that the policy change be delayed until the next
23	approval of forms is something that the Secretary of	23	election cycle.
24	State does. Ultimately as part of the certification	24	CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: So, your substitute
25	process for candidates, they agree to do things by our	25	motion would be to not take action on the no action
	Page 27	Г	Page 29
1	Page 27	1	Page 29
1 2	rules and by our procedures, and I think this would fall	1 2	letter request?
2	rules and by our procedures, and I think this would fall under that.	2	letter request?  COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: Uh-huh.
	rules and by our procedures, and I think this would fall under that.  CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay.	2 3	letter request?  COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: Uh-huh.  CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay. Is there well,
2 3 4	rules and by our procedures, and I think this would fall under that.  CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay.  COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: So it would appear to me	2 3 4	letter request?  COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: Uh-huh.  CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay. Is there well, is there a second to the substitute motion?
2 3 4 5	rules and by our procedures, and I think this would fall under that.  CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay.  COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: So it would appear to me then hearing the process, this letter is not necessary.	2 3 4 5	letter request?  COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: Uh-huh.  CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay. Is there well, is there a second to the substitute motion?  COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: I'll second that.
2 3 4	rules and by our procedures, and I think this would fall under that.  CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay.  COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: So it would appear to me then hearing the process, this letter is not necessary.  If the candidate cashes all the checks, deposits it into	2 3 4	letter request?  COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: Uh-huh.  CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay. Is there well, is there a second to the substitute motion?  COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: I'll second that.  CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay. It's been moved
2 3 4 5	rules and by our procedures, and I think this would fall under that.  CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay.  COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: So it would appear to me then hearing the process, this letter is not necessary.  If the candidate cashes all the checks, deposits it into the candidate's account, the candidate then submits a	2 3 4 5	letter request?  COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: Uh-huh.  CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay. Is there well, is there a second to the substitute motion?  COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: I'll second that.  CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay. It's been moved and seconded that the Commission not take action on the
2 3 4 5 6 7	rules and by our procedures, and I think this would fall under that.  CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay.  COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: So it would appear to me then hearing the process, this letter is not necessary.  If the candidate cashes all the checks, deposits it into	2 3 4 5 6 7	letter request?  COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: Uh-huh.  CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay. Is there well, is there a second to the substitute motion?  COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: I'll second that.  CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay. It's been moved
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	rules and by our procedures, and I think this would fall under that.  CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay.  COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: So it would appear to me then hearing the process, this letter is not necessary. If the candidate cashes all the checks, deposits it into the candidate's account, the candidate then submits a check for the total amount of contributions and they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	letter request?  COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: Uh-huh.  CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay. Is there well, is there a second to the substitute motion?  COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: I'll second that.  CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay. It's been moved and seconded that the Commission not take action on the no action letter request; which correct me if I'm
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	rules and by our procedures, and I think this would fall under that.  CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay.  COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: So it would appear to me then hearing the process, this letter is not necessary.  If the candidate cashes all the checks, deposits it into the candidate's account, the candidate then submits a check for the total amount of contributions and they submit the forms, there would have to be an awful lot of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	letter request?  COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: Uh-huh. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay. Is there well, is there a second to the substitute motion?  COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: I'll second that. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay. It's been moved and seconded that the Commission not take action on the no action letter request; which correct me if I'm wrong my understanding is then we would not issue any
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	rules and by our procedures, and I think this would fall under that.  CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay.  COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: So it would appear to me then hearing the process, this letter is not necessary.  If the candidate cashes all the checks, deposits it into the candidate's account, the candidate then submits a check for the total amount of contributions and they submit the forms, there would have to be an awful lot of administrative investigation to determine if there were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	letter request?  COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: Uh-huh. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay. Is there well, is there a second to the substitute motion?  COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: I'll second that. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay. It's been moved and seconded that the Commission not take action on the no action letter request; which correct me if I'm wrong my understanding is then we would not issue any advice or guidance and the campaign would have to make
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	rules and by our procedures, and I think this would fall under that.  CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay.  COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: So it would appear to me then hearing the process, this letter is not necessary.  If the candidate cashes all the checks, deposits it into the candidate's account, the candidate then submits a check for the total amount of contributions and they submit the forms, there would have to be an awful lot of administrative investigation to determine if there were two checks or one check. And it appears to me that that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	letter request?  COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: Uh-huh. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay. Is there well, is there a second to the substitute motion?  COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: I'll second that. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay. It's been moved and seconded that the Commission not take action on the no action letter request; which correct me if I'm wrong my understanding is then we would not issue any advice or guidance and the campaign would have to make its own determination as to what to do about the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	rules and by our procedures, and I think this would fall under that.  CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay.  COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: So it would appear to me then hearing the process, this letter is not necessary. If the candidate cashes all the checks, deposits it into the candidate's account, the candidate then submits a check for the total amount of contributions and they submit the forms, there would have to be an awful lot of administrative investigation to determine if there were two checks or one check. And it appears to me that that would be the case.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	letter request?  COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: Uh-huh. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay. Is there well, is there a second to the substitute motion?  COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: I'll second that. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay. It's been moved and seconded that the Commission not take action on the no action letter request; which correct me if I'm wrong my understanding is then we would not issue any advice or guidance and the campaign would have to make its own determination as to what to do about the situation?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	rules and by our procedures, and I think this would fall under that.  CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay.  COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: So it would appear to me then hearing the process, this letter is not necessary.  If the candidate cashes all the checks, deposits it into the candidate's account, the candidate then submits a check for the total amount of contributions and they submit the forms, there would have to be an awful lot of administrative investigation to determine if there were two checks or one check. And it appears to me that that would be the case.  So is this is this letter really	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	letter request?  COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: Uh-huh. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay. Is there well, is there a second to the substitute motion?  COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: I'll second that. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay. It's been moved and seconded that the Commission not take action on the no action letter request; which correct me if I'm wrong my understanding is then we would not issue any advice or guidance and the campaign would have to make its own determination as to what to do about the situation?  MR. LANG: That's correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	rules and by our procedures, and I think this would fall under that.  CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay.  COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: So it would appear to me then hearing the process, this letter is not necessary.  If the candidate cashes all the checks, deposits it into the candidate's account, the candidate then submits a check for the total amount of contributions and they submit the forms, there would have to be an awful lot of administrative investigation to determine if there were two checks or one check. And it appears to me that that would be the case.  So is this is this letter really necessary?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	letter request?  COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: Uh-huh. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay. Is there well, is there a second to the substitute motion?  COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: I'll second that. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay. It's been moved and seconded that the Commission not take action on the no action letter request; which correct me if I'm wrong my understanding is then we would not issue any advice or guidance and the campaign would have to make its own determination as to what to do about the situation?  MR. LANG: That's correct. COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: I think in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	rules and by our procedures, and I think this would fall under that.  CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay.  COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: So it would appear to me then hearing the process, this letter is not necessary.  If the candidate cashes all the checks, deposits it into the candidate's account, the candidate then submits a check for the total amount of contributions and they submit the forms, there would have to be an awful lot of administrative investigation to determine if there were two checks or one check. And it appears to me that that would be the case.  So is this is this letter really necessary?  CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Well, but what I'm	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	letter request?  COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: Uh-huh. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay. Is there well, is there a second to the substitute motion?  COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: I'll second that. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay. It's been moved and seconded that the Commission not take action on the no action letter request; which correct me if I'm wrong my understanding is then we would not issue any advice or guidance and the campaign would have to make its own determination as to what to do about the situation?  MR. LANG: That's correct.  COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: I think in the motion, I think it's also go ahead and bring this back
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	rules and by our procedures, and I think this would fall under that.  CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay.  COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: So it would appear to me then hearing the process, this letter is not necessary.  If the candidate cashes all the checks, deposits it into the candidate's account, the candidate then submits a check for the total amount of contributions and they submit the forms, there would have to be an awful lot of administrative investigation to determine if there were two checks or one check. And it appears to me that that would be the case.  So is this is this letter really necessary?  CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Well, but what I'm hearing from Mr. Lang is that, as the Commission, we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	letter request?  COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: Uh-huh. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay. Is there well, is there a second to the substitute motion?  COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: I'll second that. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay. It's been moved and seconded that the Commission not take action on the no action letter request; which correct me if I'm wrong my understanding is then we would not issue any advice or guidance and the campaign would have to make its own determination as to what to do about the situation?  MR. LANG: That's correct. COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: I think in the motion, I think it's also go ahead and bring this back up after the election cycle.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	rules and by our procedures, and I think this would fall under that.  CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay.  COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: So it would appear to me then hearing the process, this letter is not necessary.  If the candidate cashes all the checks, deposits it into the candidate's account, the candidate then submits a check for the total amount of contributions and they submit the forms, there would have to be an awful lot of administrative investigation to determine if there were two checks or one check. And it appears to me that that would be the case.  So is this is this letter really necessary?  CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Well, but what I'm hearing from Mr. Lang is that, as the Commission, we have if on an extreme situation and this being one subset of it an extreme situation, a candidate didn't go out and collect any \$5 contributions but only got	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	letter request?  COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: Uh-huh. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay. Is there well, is there a second to the substitute motion?  COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: I'll second that. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay. It's been moved and seconded that the Commission not take action on the no action letter request; which correct me if I'm wrong my understanding is then we would not issue any advice or guidance and the campaign would have to make its own determination as to what to do about the situation?  MR. LANG: That's correct.  COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: I think in the motion, I think it's also go ahead and bring this back up after the election cycle.  COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: Yes.  CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: And to bring it back up after the election cycle.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	rules and by our procedures, and I think this would fall under that.  CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay.  COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: So it would appear to me then hearing the process, this letter is not necessary.  If the candidate cashes all the checks, deposits it into the candidate's account, the candidate then submits a check for the total amount of contributions and they submit the forms, there would have to be an awful lot of administrative investigation to determine if there were two checks or one check. And it appears to me that that would be the case.  So is this is this letter really necessary?  CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Well, but what I'm hearing from Mr. Lang is that, as the Commission, we have if on an extreme situation and this being one subset of it an extreme situation, a candidate didn't go out and collect any \$5 contributions but only got forms signed then used his or her own money and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	letter request?  COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: Uh-huh. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay. Is there well, is there a second to the substitute motion?  COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: I'll second that. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay. It's been moved and seconded that the Commission not take action on the no action letter request; which correct me if I'm wrong my understanding is then we would not issue any advice or guidance and the campaign would have to make its own determination as to what to do about the situation?  MR. LANG: That's correct.  COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: I think in the motion, I think it's also go ahead and bring this back up after the election cycle.  COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: Yes. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: And to bring it back up after the election cycle.  Okay. Any further discussion?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	rules and by our procedures, and I think this would fall under that.  CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay. COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: So it would appear to me then hearing the process, this letter is not necessary.  If the candidate cashes all the checks, deposits it into the candidate's account, the candidate then submits a check for the total amount of contributions and they submit the forms, there would have to be an awful lot of administrative investigation to determine if there were two checks or one check. And it appears to me that that would be the case.  So is this is this letter really necessary?  CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Well, but what I'm hearing from Mr. Lang is that, as the Commission, we have if on an extreme situation and this being one subset of it an extreme situation, a candidate didn't go out and collect any \$5 contributions but only got forms signed then used his or her own money and deposited into the account to then turn it into the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	letter request?  COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: Uh-huh. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay. Is there well, is there a second to the substitute motion?  COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: I'll second that. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay. It's been moved and seconded that the Commission not take action on the no action letter request; which correct me if I'm wrong my understanding is then we would not issue any advice or guidance and the campaign would have to make its own determination as to what to do about the situation?  MR. LANG: That's correct. COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: I think in the motion, I think it's also go ahead and bring this back up after the election cycle.  COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: Yes. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: And to bring it back up after the election cycle.  Okay. Any further discussion? If not, the Chair will call for the question,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	rules and by our procedures, and I think this would fall under that.  CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay. COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: So it would appear to me then hearing the process, this letter is not necessary.  If the candidate cashes all the checks, deposits it into the candidate's account, the candidate then submits a check for the total amount of contributions and they submit the forms, there would have to be an awful lot of administrative investigation to determine if there were two checks or one check. And it appears to me that that would be the case.  So is this is this letter really necessary?  CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Well, but what I'm hearing from Mr. Lang is that, as the Commission, we have if on an extreme situation and this being one subset of it an extreme situation, a candidate didn't go out and collect any \$5 contributions but only got forms signed then used his or her own money and deposited into the account to then turn it into the Secretary of State, we would have the ability and right	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	letter request?  COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: Uh-huh. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay. Is there well, is there a second to the substitute motion?  COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: I'll second that. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay. It's been moved and seconded that the Commission not take action on the no action letter request; which correct me if I'm wrong my understanding is then we would not issue any advice or guidance and the campaign would have to make its own determination as to what to do about the situation?  MR. LANG: That's correct. COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: I think in the motion, I think it's also go ahead and bring this back up after the election cycle.  COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: Yes. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: And to bring it back up after the election cycle.  Okay. Any further discussion? If not, the Chair will call for the question, all in favor say "aye."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	rules and by our procedures, and I think this would fall under that.  CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay. COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: So it would appear to me then hearing the process, this letter is not necessary.  If the candidate cashes all the checks, deposits it into the candidate's account, the candidate then submits a check for the total amount of contributions and they submit the forms, there would have to be an awful lot of administrative investigation to determine if there were two checks or one check. And it appears to me that that would be the case.  So is this is this letter really necessary?  CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Well, but what I'm hearing from Mr. Lang is that, as the Commission, we have if on an extreme situation and this being one subset of it an extreme situation, a candidate didn't go out and collect any \$5 contributions but only got forms signed then used his or her own money and deposited into the account to then turn it into the Secretary of State, we would have the ability and right to determine by investigation whether, you know, talking	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	letter request?  COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: Uh-huh. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay. Is there well, is there a second to the substitute motion?  COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: I'll second that. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay. It's been moved and seconded that the Commission not take action on the no action letter request; which correct me if I'm wrong my understanding is then we would not issue any advice or guidance and the campaign would have to make its own determination as to what to do about the situation?  MR. LANG: That's correct.  COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: I think in the motion, I think it's also go ahead and bring this back up after the election cycle.  COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: Yes.  CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: And to bring it back up after the election cycle.  Okay. Any further discussion?  If not, the Chair will call for the question, all in favor say "aye."  COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: Aye.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	rules and by our procedures, and I think this would fall under that.  CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay. COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: So it would appear to me then hearing the process, this letter is not necessary.  If the candidate cashes all the checks, deposits it into the candidate's account, the candidate then submits a check for the total amount of contributions and they submit the forms, there would have to be an awful lot of administrative investigation to determine if there were two checks or one check. And it appears to me that that would be the case.  So is this is this letter really necessary?  CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Well, but what I'm hearing from Mr. Lang is that, as the Commission, we have if on an extreme situation and this being one subset of it an extreme situation, a candidate didn't go out and collect any \$5 contributions but only got forms signed then used his or her own money and deposited into the account to then turn it into the Secretary of State, we would have the ability and right	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	letter request?  COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: Uh-huh. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay. Is there well, is there a second to the substitute motion?  COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: I'll second that. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay. It's been moved and seconded that the Commission not take action on the no action letter request; which correct me if I'm wrong my understanding is then we would not issue any advice or guidance and the campaign would have to make its own determination as to what to do about the situation?  MR. LANG: That's correct. COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: I think in the motion, I think it's also go ahead and bring this back up after the election cycle.  COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: Yes. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: And to bring it back up after the election cycle.  Okay. Any further discussion? If not, the Chair will call for the question, all in favor say "aye."

 $2\,5$   $\,$  in fact, they paid the \$5 to the candidate. And so in

25

CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Nay?

COMMISSIONER JOLLEY: Nay.

2 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: The Chair will vote aye

3 and the motion carries.

4

9

Okay. The next item is Item No. VI, discussion

5 and possible action on the following substantive policy

statement: A) No. 1, modification, collection of 6

qualifying contributions prior to certification.

8 Mr. Lang?

MR. LANG: Thank you, Madame Chair. You have

10 before you two versions of proposed changes to public

policy statement number one. Just simply clarify the

Commission policy regarding because this issue has come 12

13 Traditionally what we found is a number of

candidates, although many candidates get certified first

and then collect \$5 contributions, many candidates

16 aren't sure whether they will be able to collect the \$5

17 contributions sufficient to qualify for funding. And so

what they do is they test the waters by going out, they

become a candidate properly with the Secretary of State, 19

but before being certified with the commission as a

participating candidate, they go out and try to collect

22 \$5 contributions.

23 And what Version 2 would do is allow them to do

2.4 so, but what it would do in order to sort of -- because

the issue has been raised about folks that didn't return

Page 32

appearance of the word candidate and that clause is, "To

treat the qualifying contribution as a general campaign

contribution." Move that clause, the "to treat" clause

up to after "authorizes the candidate."

So it would read, "The original \$5 form that

authorizes the candidate to treat the qualifying

contribution as a general campaign contribution" and

then it would go on, "if he or she decides not to

9 participate as a public-funded candidate." That, I

1.0 believe, reads better.

11

21

9

12

19

CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay.

12 COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: I would agree with that

13 change because I had the question as to how you would

14 know -- how the candidate would know in the absence of

15 checking the box who doesn't want to contribute. But,

16 moving it up there, I think it ties it all together.

17 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay. Are there other

questions or comments to Mr. Lang? 18

19 Are there -- is there anyone from the public

20 that wishes to speak to this matter?

Okay. Any further discussion?

22 If not, the Chair will entertain a motion.

23 COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: I'd move to approve

2.4 Version 2 of the changes to substantial policy statement

and modify the collection of qualifying contributions

Page 31

the \$5 contributions -- it would require them to notify

2 the Commission in writing of this -- of their intent and 3

of what they're doing so we can keep track of the \$5

contributions, and make sure they're either certified, 5 or become a non-participating candidate, or return the

6 money.

7 Version 2 is what I recommend. It would allow 8 them to test the waters because we want to encourage

that sort of participation; but it also, I think,

10 practically acknowledges the reality that not everyone

11 can qualify for public funding and this gives them a

12 chance to see. So, as you can see there, what it does

it allows them to do so but also requires them to either

14 return the money or get written permission to transfer

15 that money to a traditional account if they decide to

run traditionally. 16

17 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay. Thank you.

18 MR. LANG: Madame Chair?

19 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Yes.

20 MR. LANG: I'd like to make a, I think,

21 grammatical improvement to the proposal. If you see

towards the bottom where it says in quotes, "Written

permission may include a check box on the original \$5

24 form that authorizes the candidate." After the word

25 "candidate," take the clause that follows the next Page 33

prior to certification again utilizing Version 2. 2

COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: With the grammatical --

3 COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: With the change, yes.

COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: I would second that.

Commissioner Scaramazzo and seconded by Commissioner

CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: It's been moved by

Kunasek that we approve Version 2 with the grammatic

change previously as so noted. 8

All in favor say, "aye."

10 (Chorus of ayes.)

11 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Opposed, nay?

Chair votes aye. Motion carries.

13 All right. Substantive -- paragraph B) No. 11,

14 reporting travel-related expenditures. And I'm going to

15 take up paragraph C, number 13, use of privately owned

airplanes, motor vehicle, and accommodations together

because I think we -- it may turn out to make sense to 17

18 be talking about them both at the same time.

So with that, Mr. Lang.

20 MR. LANG: Well, Madame Chair, you out did me.

2.1 As you can see, I had a proposal to change number 11 and

basically what the change to 11 was is to incorporate

23 the language that the Commission was concerned about in

the last meeting, because I felt that language was more

general about travel-related expenditures and I wanted

Page 34 Page 36 -- I thought it should be seperated out from 13, it's 1 of some of that game playing. I'm sure there are many more about specifically applying airplanes or cars. incumbent candidates who think it should be a lower So, what you have there are two proposals by number though and I think that's your point. COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: I was just trying to me, one changing 11 to, I think, improve it the way we discussed. And one -- and another to 13 which would be, figure it out in case I ever campaign again. I think, easier to read and easier to understand. 6 MR. LANG: Well, then you may want to lower it However, the Chair came up with a new number 11 that 7 then. 8 COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: Should we get our \$5 8 actually incorporates all 13, would render 13 a nullity. 9 9 After reviewing that, I actually recommend the ready? 10 new number 11 because I think it simplifies this 10 COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: Afraid not. Save it. complicated proceeding that's been going on for months 11 COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: Okay. quite a bit. So, I would suggest that we consider -- or 12 12 MR. LANG: Madame Chair, I would also point out 13 that the Commission consider substantive policy 13 and I would like to suggest, just for clarity under 14 statement 11 as proposed by Chair Busching. C(2), there's the language referring to the value of an CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: And that, just for --15 in-kind contribution. And what I would suggest under 16 yeah -- everyone's reference, has down at the bottom, there at C(2) and also at D there's also a reference to 17 "Comments from Busching." in-kind contribution. I suggest after the term "in-kind 18 COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: Okay. contribution" that we actually have an asterisk and that CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Which came as a result 19 the asterisk then refer down below to just a reminder 20 of getting the meeting packet. 20 that would -- the language would say something along the 21 COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: You read it. 21 lines: Participating candidates must comply with the 22 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Indeed. 22 restrictions of ARS 16-941(A)(1) and 16-945. 23 Any questions of Mr. Lang? Commissioner 2.3 Basically what that means is you can't accept 24 Kunasek. 2.4 the in-kind contribution after the deadline if you're a 25 COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: What's magic about 50 participating candidate. Of course, non-participating Page 37 Page 35 percent? The example would be, if you're on a trip and candidates can accept them throughout, but we want to it is one campaign stop but the next stop is to go make sure that participating candidates somehow think fishing, why 50 percent of the whole trip? Why not 50 this gives them permission to accept in-kind percent of the cost to go to the campaign stop? contributions after the time has -- after the time has 5 Is that the way I'm reading it? run to do that. 6 MR. LANG: Uh-huh. 6 COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: Okay. 7 addition. 8 MR. LANG: Madame Chair, Commissioner Kunasek, I have been questioned by our legal counsel

9 actually that was already addressed and approved by the Commission in substantive policy statement 12. 11 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Last time. 12 MR. LANG: Last time. And so I hate to revisit it, but to answer your question, the theory there is that, what we wanted to preclude was -- and this was 15 made by suggestions, this actually came up from

suggestions from the public. The concern was raised 17 that campaigns of incumbents will come up with official 18 business to justify treating only a small percentage of the trip as a campaign stop, when, in fact, the whole

reason for the trip was the campaign stop. So you have somebody: Oh, we want to go raise money in Flagstaff,

let's do six official events in Flagstaff and that way

we only have to claim one-sixth of the trip as a

24 campaign and really we all know it was all campaign. 25

So, the theory here was the 50 percent gets rid

CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: I think that's a good

9 about I have two distances referenced in the draft that I drafted. One in C(1), "Transportation costs, length of trip. If the one-way length of a trip is less than

40 miles from the candidate's home or office, then no

13 reporting of travel-related expenditures is required."

14 And then secondly over in E(1), "For

15 campaign-related trips of more than 80 miles, a 16 candidate shall keep an itinerary of the trip," et

17 cetera, et cetera.

18 And the question has been raised, where do 19 these numbers come from? And when I drafted it, I

20 thought that for most -- for most candidates in most

21 legislative districts, that would mean they wouldn't

22 have to report and wouldn't have to keep an itinerary of

23 the trip and it wouldn't -- so, it would be fair to all

24 of the candidates in that particular district.

25 I realize that there's districts in some

outlying counties where to go across the district is

more than 40 miles and so they would have to start keeping a log. But I thought that it just was too much

4 recordkeeping. Myself, I mean, I thought that, you

5 know, there was just no reason that we needed to get

into that kind of detail.

I have had arguments made to me against that.

That it's not fair. That, you know, you're going to

have a big loophole that people could -- they could do

0 all kinds of traveling and never have to report it. And

so, I think that's an issue that we should at least

12 discuss if not receive legal advice on.

13 And I think that's the only issue that -- oh,

14 and the other issue that has come up is in B(1) and (2).

5 Last time when we talked about differentiating people

traveling with the candidate, we put spouse in with the

17 candidate and treated the spouse as the same as the

candidate for all purposes. But the proposal that we

19 had to include family members we did not approve.

Well, when I went back to look at the statute,

21 I discovered that a contribution in 16-901.5(B)(4), "A

22 contribution does not include any unreimbursed payment

23 for personal travel expenses made by an individual who

on his own behalf volunteers his personal services to a

24 On his own behan volunteers his personal services to a

25 candidate." And there was not that differentiation

Page 40

miles, they would have to keep a log.

2 COMMISSIONER JOLLEY: So, that would -- well, I

think --

11

COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: I think I feel

Ermila's frustration just from a rural perspective. It

sure seems to slant to somebody, you know, living in a

metropolitan area that would exclude them from rules

8 that would apply to somebody in the outlying area. Like

9 you said, 40 miles, that's a chip shot down the road.

10 Up in northern Arizona, it really doesn't apply.

CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: I wholeheartedly agree.

12 It's, you know, having -- and, I mean, my thinking --

and, you know, I want obviously discussion and

4 everything -- my thinking was, it's a whole lot's easier

15 for me in keeping records for the IRS to remember if I'm

driving from here to Flagstaff and keep a record because

7 it's a longer trip than I'm going here to -- or from

18 here to Scottsdale, you know. The short trips I just

19 have a much harder time remembering.

20 So, I was thinking, you know, hey, you know, if

21 we're going to try to do it as easy as possible on

candidates, you know, let's have some arbitrary limit.

23 Whether it's 40 miles or some other number, I don't

4 care. But let's have some arbitrary limit where, you

25 know, if they go on a campaign trip for a distance, then

Page 39

between spouse and candidate, nor was there a

2 differentiation of spouse and candidate under

3 subparagraph two where a candidate is defined as only

4 the individual running for office.

5 So after considering the statute, I felt that

we couldn't in the policy statement bring in spouse and

7 treat the spouse like the candidate, and that's why

8 that's different than what we had talked about at the

9 last meeting.

10 Those are two issues that maybe where we have

11 further discussion, or comment, or questions, or

12 executive session for legal advice, or whatever anybody

13 wants.

14

15

Commissioner Jolley?

COMMISSIONER JOLLEY: Yes. I have a comment.

16 Because, you know, I live in an area that's remote, so

17 if you have a candidate that lives, let's say, in Yuma

18 that's traveling to Tacna, that's 60 miles one way. So

19 they would have to keep a log each time they went out

20 there, unless they went to Wellton which is 40 miles and

then clock there and then say Wellton I traveled another

22 20 miles to Tacna. So they wouldn't have to keep a log

23 at that time?

24 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Except that the way I

25 drafted it under E(1), if the whole trip is more than 80

Page 41

they need to keep a log, you know, is my thinking on it.

2 But I'm open to suggestions.

3 COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: I'm wondering if there's

a number we could -- and I haven't -- my question was,

and I didn't distinguish between the related trip and

6 the one-way trip, but if it's 40 miles one way, getting

7 back 40 miles, you know, they don't have to keep the log

8 going 40, why do they have to keep it coming back?

Why don't we change the word for

campaign-related trip of more than -- or one way more

11 than 80 miles? Would that -- say if the one-way length

12 of the trip is less than 40 miles.

13 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Uh-huh.

14 COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: If the related trip, the

15 total trip is less than 80 miles. So that then that

would cover the 40 miles out and 40 miles back, but if

you're going out 80 miles, you're going to have to come

18 back anyway. It's probably a trip you'd have to keep a

19 log on.

20 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: So your proposal would

21 be to do, what? I'm a little bit confused.

22 COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: Okay. I think the

23 simplest way to do it would be for campaign-related

4 trips of more than 80 miles, a candidate shall keep an

Page 42 And then back on C(1), the length of the trip we could 1 together. probably just eliminate that. Because if the trip --2 But I believe, Chairman Busching, that you total related cost of the trip is more than 80 miles, we 3 proposed to include number 11 which includes materials don't have to distinguish one less than 40 miles. and stuff that's contained in substantive policy 5 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay. So you would just statement number 12. And if you adopt this new number 6 take -- take one out? Take C(1) out? 11, it would conflict with your statement in number 12, COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: I'd take C(1) out and I and I don't think -- I do not believe statement number 8 think that would satisfy my concern. Because my 12 has been posted on your agenda. And, in essence, 9 question was there's a limbo between 40 and 80, but 9 have a conflicting public policy statements. And you knowing the difference in the way the trip was defined. can't repeal statement 12 because you didn't notice it. 10 11 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Uh-huh. Okay. 11 So, I think that we have now created another 12 COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: I guess I still have 12 whole complete conflict of what we're dealing with in 13 a little issue with it being arbitrary on, you know, is accordance with the agenda as published. And I a campaign expenditure a campaign expenditure if I go 14 appreciate your attentiveness to trying to combine all three miles versus 125 miles? I understand that it's of these things, but I think it would make it a lot 16 more of a pain to keep track of that, but by the same 16 easier for those of us who are not legal scholars to go 17 token it's all the same. In essence you're using it as 17 back to the agenda the way it was written. an expenditure, so why -- I don't know. That's just 18 And in conclusion, I would just like to -- and 19 sitting a little wrong with me. 19 I appreciate your comments about the discussion last 20 What legally can we --20 time in regards to the travel, because the discussion 21 MS. VARELA: Well, I would recommend going into the way that I thought it went was that the spouses were 21 22 executive session to get legal advice on this. 22 to be included in the immediate family. And I 23 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Should -- would you 23 appreciate the discussion between Mr. Scaramazzo and recommend that we do it before we take public comment or 2.4 24 yourself and I would like to see that addressed. 25 25 should we take public comment first? But today we're really letting the cat out of Page 43 1 MS. VARELA: However you want to do it. box and getting into all the other different subject COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: Public comment, why 2 matters and when you don't -- when you can't combine or 3 don't we. 3 blend with 12 because it's not on the agenda, then we 4 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay. Can you hold that create other problems. 5 5 thought then? 6 COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: Sure. It's not going 6 anywhere. I've got five minutes before I forget.

Page 45

8 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: All right. Is there 9 anyone from the public that wishes to speak to this 10 11 MR. ROSEN: Are you talking all -- the 12 combined? 13 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: I think we're focusing, 14 yes, now on the version that says, "Comments from 15 Busching." I think that's Mr. Lang's recommendation that we focus on. 17 THE COURT REPORTER: Sir, Can I get your name? 18 MR. ROSEN: Arthur Rosen. 19 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Yes, we're going to 20 limit comments to two minutes today. 21 MS. BREWER: Madame Chairman -- I'll try to make it as quickly as possible -- and Commissioners. I

came prepared to address the agenda the way it was

25 from the agenda posting by combining all of these

printed and posted; however, now I see we've deviated

23

CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Thank you. Actually, I'm subject to being told otherwise, but I think that what's been incorporated into this draft of 11 does not 8 differ from what was in 12 that was approved by the 9 Commission on March 15th of 2006. So, if someone sees a change or specifically a conflict, then I agree we need 11 to address that. 12 Is there anyone else from the public that 13 wishes to speak to this matter? 14 Please come forward and state your name. 15 MS. SMITH FLOREZ: Me? 16 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Yes, you. 17 MS. SMITH FLOREZ: Jan Smith Florez. I'm a 18 candidate for governor and I am a Clean Elections candidate. I appreciate what Secretary of State Brewer 19 20 said, because I don't really know what you're talking 21 about so I hope you do. Because things have been changed and the problem with vehicle travel as I indicated to you last week was -- and I don't know, we talking airplanes here at all yet or even get to airplanes yet?

#### Page 46 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Do you have a copy of 2 this version? 2 3 MS. SMITH FLOREZ: I had looked at it on -- I 3 4 think it was on the Internet last night. Yes, I looked 5 5 at it there. 6 My problem is, is that you -- you make it 6

harder. Today I'm on my way to my house in Nogales
 which is my primary house. I have a house here. I'm
 stopping by here. I stopped by the post office which is

stopping by here. I stopped by the post office which is on the way here. And I'm -- that's about a 150, -70

miles that I'm going. I need to know what I'm to charge
to the campaign and what I am not. And you keep
changing the rules. Keep changing things.

I think there's some in here that severely needchanged. I addressed those last time. But you guys,

 $1\,6$   $\,$  please let us know what we're supposed to be doing

 $1\,7$   $\,$  because none of us want to disobey. And it's very hard.

18 I think the simplest thing, I said it last time, is

 $1\,9$   $\,$  this, Main has a -- Main says it this way, "Candidates

 $2\,\mathrm{0}$   $\,$  may elect to have the campaign reimburse themselves for

21 vehicle travel at the reimbursement rate that is

22 applicable to state government employees; therefore, the

23 amount that is actually paid for fuel, repairs prorated.

24 Candidates should keep a record of each trip that

25 includes travel miles, origination, destination and

forward. Two minutes, please.

MR. ROSEN: Thank you, ma'am. I was -- totally misunderstood which part of this you were on and I don't

have your latest paperwork.

My name is Arthur Rosen representing the

Aircraft Owners and Pilots Association.

CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Mr. Rosen, maybe it

8 would make sense for you to let someone else speak and

9 you take -- since you didn't see what we were talking 10 about, take a minute and look at what we're talking

11 about before you speak.

MR. ROSEN: Did it change from 99-and-a-half

13 cents a mile?

14 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: No.

MR. LANG: That's one of the options.

16 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: That's one of the

17 options.

19

MR. ROSEN: There's more than that?

CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Yes.

20 MR. ROSEN: Okay. The only option I can

21 address which might help you all know and then I'll go

22 back and listen, is that I've worked very closely with

23 Mr. Lang after Mr. Kunasek's comments at the last

24 meeting, worked very closely with the FAA in Washington.

25 Did receive approval for private pilots to use airplanes

Page 47

purpose." I assume that means for those that are going

to seek campaign funds to reimburse.

Why do we need all this? If you would just let people contribute whether it's airplane, boat, car,

whatever? Why can't we just get it simple? The reason

6 it keeps being complicated is because you're trying to

make it complicated, not intentionally, but you are

8 making it complicated.

9 You are trying to, you know -- it's like how 10 many angles dance on the head of a pin. And it is

11 really making it very difficult for me as a candidate

12 and very difficult for all candidates. Believe me, we

13 talk about it. And what you just need to do is let

14 people contribute and forget it.

15 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Thank you. Anyone else

16 wish to speak to this?

17 MR. ROSEN: Ma'am, I still misunderstood if

18 you're talking about airplane cost at this time.

19 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Mr. Rosen, we are

20 talking about transportation costs generally and the

21 proposal deals with all modes of transportation in a

22 similar fashion.

25

MR. ROSEN: Then I would -- I misunderstood which part of this you were on.

CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Yes. Please step

Page 49

for elections. The maximum allowance that the federal

2 government allows for private usage of an airplane is 91

3 cents a mile. We're at 99-and-a-half cents a mile right

4 now, as of the last item I've seen.

If we can get that changed to 91 cents a mile

which would not be considered excessive by the federal

government, I think then you solved that part of the

8 problem. And that's -- that's basically all I have to

9 say right now unless something different comes up.

10 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay. Thank you.

11 MR. ROSEN: Thank you.

MR. LANG: Thank you.

13 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Anyone else wish to

14 speak? Sir, two minutes.

15 MR. MAYS: My name is Richard Mays. I'm from

6 Fountain Hills. I submitted an alternative to the

7 policy. I'd like you to really consider that. Even --

18 Chair Busching went a long, long way. But last month at

19 the Commission meeting, Commissioners said: Combine the

20 use of travel into one policy.

21 This does this and this gives you three options

22 to use. It's simple. It's easy to understand and you

23 can go anyway you want. You can allow us to get

24 reimbursement up to the maximum, which I think is the

25 best policy personally.

Then option two is virtually similar to your
current car policy because it says that you can discount
that state reimbursement rate. Right now you can
discount to 10 cents. This says you can discount 25
percent of the state reimbursement rate. Or option

6 three which says the candidates pay the state 7 reimbursement rate.

Each one of these say you got to keep a record for your travel. It's based on the administrative rules. It's clean, easy, and simple.

11 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Thank you, sir. 12 Anyone else from the public wish to

13 speak? Okay.

MS. BREWER: Madame Chair, members of the
 Commission. I am before you as a candidate for
 re-election of Secretary of State. And I've gone to all

17 the required meetings. I have followed all the rules

18 that were instructed in the Clean Elections' workshops.

9 I follow your blue bible. I follow your policy,

20 administrative rules. I follow the Arizona Revised

 $2\,1$   $\,$  Statute. And it's like finding a needle in a hay stack

22 to get this all put together.

Now, we have all these new candidates and people not even candidates that are coming forward

wanting to make an impact in changing all these rules

Page 52

turn and ask anybody out here a particular question. I
 don't think we would come up with one answer to what the

3 law and the rules or administrative judgments are.

But, you know, when you start a race, like if you're running a one-mile race uphill, everybody knows

6 what the rules are. We get one-half mile up that race

7 and somebody joins the race and they don't want to run

uphill because it's tougher. So, now they want to rundownhill. That's not fair. The rule says the race was

10 going to be one mile up the hill and not one-half mile

1 down the hill

I respectfully request that you look at the fairness of this issue. Changing the rules in the

14 middle of the playground is totally unfair. It is not

 $15\,$  what the people of Arizona voted for when they wanted to

16 level the playing field. They wanted rules and

17 regulations.

 $1\,8$   $\,$   $\,$  And we, the candidates, Madame Chairman and

19 Commissioners, are the ones that have our neck on the

 $2\,\mathrm{0}$   $\,$  line. We're the ones that have to comply by this and go

21 through all the hoops, all the hoops. And then we look

22 and we see and the rules keep changing, changing,

23 changing.

2 4 You put out your blue Bible book with --

25 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: You time is --

Page 51

because the rules just don't seem to fit into their

2 agenda.

For those of us who started our election in
August and September, and we were following the rules in

place. We made our decision to run based on the rule

6 book; simple, plain, and fair. Our people that worked

7 with us, our treasurers, our campaign volunteers, all

8 knew the rules. And you shouldn't change all these

9 rules, I wouldn't think, when we're in the middle of a

10 campaign.

Now, two-and-a-half years since the last statewide election and these issues could have been

determined, or solved, or resolved, or taken care of in that time period. But now as we see this big horde of

candidates coming on and they don't want to play by the

16 rules, yet we were forced to play by the rules, and then
 17 you can -- I hate to use the word arbitrarily -- decide

17 you can -- I hate to use the word arbitrarily -- decide
 18 to change the rules to accommodate people because it

9 makes it easier but I had to play by the tough rules,

20 just is not fair.

What you're doing is hurting the qualified candidates like myself. I'm being punished and they're

being rewarded. And I -- I would just like to tell you in a little analogy the way I look at this. And I don't

5 mean to be emotional. It's so complicated that I could

Page 53

CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Your time is up. Thank

3 **you**.

2

4 All right. Anyone else from the public wish to

5 speak?

6 COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: We need a motion to go

7 into executive session?

8 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: I do.

MS. BREWER: Excuse me?

9 COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: So moved.

10 COMMISSIONER JOLLEY: I'll second that.

11 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: It's been moved by

12 Commissioner Kunasek and seconded by Commissioner Jolley

13 that we go into executive session.

All in favor say, "aye."

15 (Chorus of ayes.)

16 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Opposed, nay?

Chair votes aye. Motion carries.

18 The public needs to leave the room.

COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: They can wait?

20 Shouldn't take too long, should it?

(Whereupon the public retires from the meeting

22 room.)

23 24

14

17

19

21

(Whereupon the Commission is in executive

25 session from 10:39 a.m. until 10:57 a.m.)

Page 54	Page 56
	1 to the Clean Elections fund?
	2 MR. ROSEN: You know, I talked to the FAA about
2 3	3 it and there's an FAR that describes this one area of
	4 flight, and it's a very vague FAR. And it's basically a
4	5 flight for hire 91 cents, you can have it. Anything
5	6 other than that, you're subject to regulation and that's
6	7 the way they look at it. They just it's pretty cut
7	8 and dried to them.
8	9 So, I understand I don't know where your
9	10 99-and-a-half cents came from, but the general aviation
10	11 fleet is 35 years old. You can buy a lot of airplanes
11	12 out there for less than a car. And even 91 cents is
12	
13	13 really excessive, but this is what the federal
14	14 government will allow.
15	And if you can live with that number, then
16	16 you've solved the problem on all ends and you don't put
17	17 anybody out there at risk.
18	18 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Thank you. Commissioner
19	19 Kunasek?
20	20 MR. ROSEN: Yes, sir?
21	21 COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: Mr. Rosen, what about
22	22 using the similar language to the automobile language,
23	23 which says between 10 cents and 40 cents, so between 91
24	24 and 99 and a half?
25	25 MR. ROSEN: As long as the expense report
Page 55	Page 57
Page 55  1 (Whereupon all members of the public are	Page 57  1 COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: Shows 91.
1 (Whereupon all members of the public are	1 COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: Shows 91.
1 (Whereupon all members of the public are 2 present and the Commission resumes in general session.)	1 COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: Shows 91. 2 MR. ROSEN: shows 91. But if it shows 92
1 (Whereupon all members of the public are 2 present and the Commission resumes in general session.) 3	1 COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: Shows 91. 2 MR. ROSEN: shows 91. But if it shows 92 3 and above
1 (Whereupon all members of the public are 2 present and the Commission resumes in general session.) 3 4 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: While normally at this	1 COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: Shows 91. 2 MR. ROSEN: shows 91. But if it shows 92 3 and above 4 COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: You're in trouble.
1 (Whereupon all members of the public are 2 present and the Commission resumes in general session.) 3 4 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: While normally at this 5 time we would not open it up for public comment, because	1 COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: Shows 91. 2 MR. ROSEN: shows 91. But if it shows 92 3 and above 4 COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: You're in trouble. 5 MR. ROSEN: then that pilot is in trouble.
1 (Whereupon all members of the public are 2 present and the Commission resumes in general session.) 3 4 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: While normally at this 5 time we would not open it up for public comment, because 6 I know some of the people did not have the Busching 7 version before and have had a fair amount of time now to 8 look at it, I will open it up for public comment and any	COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: Shows 91.  MR. ROSEN: shows 91. But if it shows 92  and above  COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: You're in trouble.  MR. ROSEN: then that pilot is in trouble.  CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay.
1 (Whereupon all members of the public are 2 present and the Commission resumes in general session.) 3 4 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: While normally at this 5 time we would not open it up for public comment, because 6 I know some of the people did not have the Busching 7 version before and have had a fair amount of time now to 8 look at it, I will open it up for public comment and any 9 further comments by people, even if you have spoken	COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: Shows 91.  MR. ROSEN: shows 91. But if it shows 92  and above  COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: You're in trouble.  MR. ROSEN: then that pilot is in trouble.  CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay.  MR. ROSEN: Thank you very much for your time.  CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Thank you.  COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: Thank you.
1 (Whereupon all members of the public are 2 present and the Commission resumes in general session.) 3 4 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: While normally at this 5 time we would not open it up for public comment, because 6 I know some of the people did not have the Busching 7 version before and have had a fair amount of time now to 8 look at it, I will open it up for public comment and any 9 further comments by people, even if you have spoken 10 before up to a two-minute period per person.	COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: Shows 91.  MR. ROSEN: shows 91. But if it shows 92  and above  COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: You're in trouble.  MR. ROSEN: then that pilot is in trouble.  CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay.  MR. ROSEN: Thank you very much for your time.  CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Thank you.  COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: Thank you.  CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Any other members of the
1 (Whereupon all members of the public are 2 present and the Commission resumes in general session.) 3 4 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: While normally at this 5 time we would not open it up for public comment, because 6 I know some of the people did not have the Busching 7 version before and have had a fair amount of time now to 8 look at it, I will open it up for public comment and any 9 further comments by people, even if you have spoken 10 before up to a two-minute period per person. 11 So, before we turn to Commissioners discussing	COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: Shows 91.  MR. ROSEN: shows 91. But if it shows 92  and above  COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: You're in trouble.  MR. ROSEN: then that pilot is in trouble.  CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay.  MR. ROSEN: Thank you very much for your time.  CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Thank you.  COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: Thank you.  CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Any other members of the public? Secretary of State Brewer?
1 (Whereupon all members of the public are 2 present and the Commission resumes in general session.) 3 4 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: While normally at this 5 time we would not open it up for public comment, because 6 I know some of the people did not have the Busching 7 version before and have had a fair amount of time now to 8 look at it, I will open it up for public comment and any 9 further comments by people, even if you have spoken 10 before up to a two-minute period per person. 11 So, before we turn to Commissioners discussing 12 this, is there any other public comment? Sir.	COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: Shows 91.  MR. ROSEN: shows 91. But if it shows 92  and above  COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: You're in trouble.  MR. ROSEN: then that pilot is in trouble.  CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay.  MR. ROSEN: Thank you very much for your time.  CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Thank you.  COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: Thank you.  CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Any other members of the public? Secretary of State Brewer?  MS. BREWER: Thank you, Madam Chair, members of
1 (Whereupon all members of the public are 2 present and the Commission resumes in general session.) 3 4 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: While normally at this 5 time we would not open it up for public comment, because 6 I know some of the people did not have the Busching 7 version before and have had a fair amount of time now to 8 look at it, I will open it up for public comment and any 9 further comments by people, even if you have spoken 10 before up to a two-minute period per person. 11 So, before we turn to Commissioners discussing 12 this, is there any other public comment? Sir. 13 MR. ROSEN: Yes, ma'am.	COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: Shows 91.  MR. ROSEN: shows 91. But if it shows 92  and above  COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: You're in trouble.  MR. ROSEN: then that pilot is in trouble.  CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay.  MR. ROSEN: Thank you very much for your time.  CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Thank you.  COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: Thank you.  CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Any other members of the public? Secretary of State Brewer?  MS. BREWER: Thank you, Madam Chair, members of the Commission. I'm a candidate for re-election to
1 (Whereupon all members of the public are 2 present and the Commission resumes in general session.) 3 4 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: While normally at this 5 time we would not open it up for public comment, because 6 I know some of the people did not have the Busching 7 version before and have had a fair amount of time now to 8 look at it, I will open it up for public comment and any 9 further comments by people, even if you have spoken 10 before up to a two-minute period per person. 11 So, before we turn to Commissioners discussing 12 this, is there any other public comment? Sir. 13 MR. ROSEN: Yes, ma'am. 14 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Please come forward.	COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: Shows 91.  MR. ROSEN: shows 91. But if it shows 92  and above  COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: You're in trouble.  MR. ROSEN: then that pilot is in trouble.  CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay.  MR. ROSEN: Thank you very much for your time.  CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Thank you.  COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: Thank you.  CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Any other members of the public? Secretary of State Brewer?  MS. BREWER: Thank you, Madam Chair, members of the Commission. I'm a candidate for re-election to
1 (Whereupon all members of the public are 2 present and the Commission resumes in general session.) 3 4 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: While normally at this 5 time we would not open it up for public comment, because 6 I know some of the people did not have the Busching 7 version before and have had a fair amount of time now to 8 look at it, I will open it up for public comment and any 9 further comments by people, even if you have spoken 10 before up to a two-minute period per person. 11 So, before we turn to Commissioners discussing 12 this, is there any other public comment? Sir. 13 MR. ROSEN: Yes, ma'am. 14 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Please come forward. 15 MR. ROSEN: Okay. I'd just like to reiterate	1 COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: Shows 91. 2 MR. ROSEN: shows 91. But if it shows 92 3 and above 4 COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: You're in trouble. 5 MR. ROSEN: then that pilot is in trouble. 6 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay. 7 MR. ROSEN: Thank you very much for your time. 8 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Thank you. 9 COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: Thank you. 10 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Any other members of the public? Secretary of State Brewer? 12 MS. BREWER: Thank you, Madam Chair, members of the Commission. I'm a candidate for re-election to 14 Secretary of State. I'm here today before you to remind you that the campaigns have started, the rules are
1 (Whereupon all members of the public are 2 present and the Commission resumes in general session.) 3 4 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: While normally at this 5 time we would not open it up for public comment, because 6 I know some of the people did not have the Busching 7 version before and have had a fair amount of time now to 8 look at it, I will open it up for public comment and any 9 further comments by people, even if you have spoken 10 before up to a two-minute period per person. 11 So, before we turn to Commissioners discussing 12 this, is there any other public comment? Sir. 13 MR. ROSEN: Yes, ma'am. 14 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Please come forward. 15 MR. ROSEN: Okay. I'd just like to reiterate 16 what I said earlier that the 99 the 91 cents a mile	1 COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: Shows 91. 2 MR. ROSEN: shows 91. But if it shows 92 3 and above 4 COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: You're in trouble. 5 MR. ROSEN: then that pilot is in trouble. 6 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay. 7 MR. ROSEN: Thank you very much for your time. 8 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Thank you. 9 COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: Thank you. 10 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Any other members of the public? Secretary of State Brewer? 12 MS. BREWER: Thank you, Madam Chair, members of the Commission. I'm a candidate for re-election to 14 Secretary of State. I'm here today before you to remind you that the campaigns have started, the rules are printed, people have been following them. If you change
1 (Whereupon all members of the public are 2 present and the Commission resumes in general session.) 3 4 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: While normally at this 5 time we would not open it up for public comment, because 6 I know some of the people did not have the Busching 7 version before and have had a fair amount of time now to 8 look at it, I will open it up for public comment and any 9 further comments by people, even if you have spoken 10 before up to a two-minute period per person. 11 So, before we turn to Commissioners discussing 12 this, is there any other public comment? Sir. 13 MR. ROSEN: Yes, ma'am. 14 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Please come forward. 15 MR. ROSEN: Okay. I'd just like to reiterate 16 what I said earlier that the 99 the 91 cents a mile 17 would not open Pandora's box for reimbursement for a	1 COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: Shows 91. 2 MR. ROSEN: shows 91. But if it shows 92 3 and above 4 COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: You're in trouble. 5 MR. ROSEN: then that pilot is in trouble. 6 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay. 7 MR. ROSEN: Thank you very much for your time. 8 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Thank you. 9 COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: Thank you. 10 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Any other members of the public? Secretary of State Brewer? 12 MS. BREWER: Thank you, Madam Chair, members of the Commission. I'm a candidate for re-election to 14 Secretary of State. I'm here today before you to remind you that the campaigns have started, the rules are 16 printed, people have been following them. If you change 17 those rules in the middle of the process, it's totally
1 (Whereupon all members of the public are 2 present and the Commission resumes in general session.) 3 4 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: While normally at this 5 time we would not open it up for public comment, because 6 I know some of the people did not have the Busching 7 version before and have had a fair amount of time now to 8 look at it, I will open it up for public comment and any 9 further comments by people, even if you have spoken 10 before up to a two-minute period per person. 11 So, before we turn to Commissioners discussing 12 this, is there any other public comment? Sir. 13 MR. ROSEN: Yes, ma'am. 14 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Please come forward. 15 MR. ROSEN: Okay. I'd just like to reiterate 16 what I said earlier that the 99 the 91 cents a mile 17 would not open Pandora's box for reimbursement for a 18 private pilot. When you get anything over that, you're	1 COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: Shows 91. 2 MR. ROSEN: shows 91. But if it shows 92 3 and above 4 COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: You're in trouble. 5 MR. ROSEN: then that pilot is in trouble. 6 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay. 7 MR. ROSEN: Thank you very much for your time. 8 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Thank you. 9 COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: Thank you. 10 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Any other members of the public? Secretary of State Brewer? 12 MS. BREWER: Thank you, Madam Chair, members of the Commission. I'm a candidate for re-election to 14 Secretary of State. I'm here today before you to remind you that the campaigns have started, the rules are printed, people have been following them. If you change those rules in the middle of the process, it's totally unfair and doesn't make for a level playing field.
1 (Whereupon all members of the public are 2 present and the Commission resumes in general session.) 3 4 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: While normally at this 5 time we would not open it up for public comment, because 6 I know some of the people did not have the Busching 7 version before and have had a fair amount of time now to 8 look at it, I will open it up for public comment and any 9 further comments by people, even if you have spoken 10 before up to a two-minute period per person. 11 So, before we turn to Commissioners discussing 12 this, is there any other public comment? Sir. 13 MR. ROSEN: Yes, ma'am. 14 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Please come forward. 15 MR. ROSEN: Okay. I'd just like to reiterate 16 what I said earlier that the 99 the 91 cents a mile 17 would not open Pandora's box for reimbursement for a 18 private pilot. When you get anything over that, you're 19 going to create a problem where a private pilot then	1 COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: Shows 91. 2 MR. ROSEN: shows 91. But if it shows 92 3 and above 4 COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: You're in trouble. 5 MR. ROSEN: then that pilot is in trouble. 6 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay. 7 MR. ROSEN: Thank you very much for your time. 8 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Thank you. 9 COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: Thank you. 10 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Any other members of the public? Secretary of State Brewer? 12 MS. BREWER: Thank you, Madam Chair, members of the Commission. I'm a candidate for re-election to 14 Secretary of State. I'm here today before you to remind you that the campaigns have started, the rules are printed, people have been following them. If you change those rules in the middle of the process, it's totally unfair and doesn't make for a level playing field. 19 Clean Elections was voted on and passed by the
1 (Whereupon all members of the public are 2 present and the Commission resumes in general session.) 3 4 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: While normally at this 5 time we would not open it up for public comment, because 6 I know some of the people did not have the Busching 7 version before and have had a fair amount of time now to 8 look at it, I will open it up for public comment and any 9 further comments by people, even if you have spoken 10 before up to a two-minute period per person. 11 So, before we turn to Commissioners discussing 12 this, is there any other public comment? Sir. 13 MR. ROSEN: Yes, ma'am. 14 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Please come forward. 15 MR. ROSEN: Okay. I'd just like to reiterate 16 what I said earlier that the 99 the 91 cents a mile 17 would not open Pandora's box for reimbursement for a 18 private pilot. When you get anything over that, you're 19 going to create a problem where a private pilot then 20 will be subject to violating federal regulations, fines,	COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: Shows 91.  MR. ROSEN: shows 91. But if it shows 92  and above  COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: You're in trouble.  MR. ROSEN: then that pilot is in trouble.  CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay.  MR. ROSEN: Thank you very much for your time.  CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Thank you.  COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: Thank you.  CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Any other members of the public? Secretary of State Brewer?  MS. BREWER: Thank you, Madam Chair, members of the Commission. I'm a candidate for re-election to  Secretary of State. I'm here today before you to remind you that the campaigns have started, the rules are printed, people have been following them. If you change those rules in the middle of the process, it's totally unfair and doesn't make for a level playing field.  Clean Elections was voted on and passed by the people to make a level playing field. The level playing
1 (Whereupon all members of the public are 2 present and the Commission resumes in general session.) 3 4 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: While normally at this 5 time we would not open it up for public comment, because 6 I know some of the people did not have the Busching 7 version before and have had a fair amount of time now to 8 look at it, I will open it up for public comment and any 9 further comments by people, even if you have spoken 10 before up to a two-minute period per person. 11 So, before we turn to Commissioners discussing 12 this, is there any other public comment? Sir. 13 MR. ROSEN: Yes, ma'am. 14 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Please come forward. 15 MR. ROSEN: Okay. I'd just like to reiterate 16 what I said earlier that the 99 the 91 cents a mile 17 would not open Pandora's box for reimbursement for a 18 private pilot. When you get anything over that, you're 19 going to create a problem where a private pilot then 20 will be subject to violating federal regulations, fines, 21 loss of license, and jail time. 91 cents, they're okay.	1 COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: Shows 91. 2 MR. ROSEN: shows 91. But if it shows 92 3 and above 4 COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: You're in trouble. 5 MR. ROSEN: then that pilot is in trouble. 6 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay. 7 MR. ROSEN: Thank you very much for your time. 8 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Thank you. 9 COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: Thank you. 10 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Any other members of the public? Secretary of State Brewer? 12 MS. BREWER: Thank you, Madam Chair, members of the Commission. I'm a candidate for re-election to 14 Secretary of State. I'm here today before you to remind you that the campaigns have started, the rules are printed, people have been following them. If you change those rules in the middle of the process, it's totally unfair and doesn't make for a level playing field. 19 Clean Elections was voted on and passed by the people to make a level playing field. The level playing field is when you start holding your workshops and
1 (Whereupon all members of the public are 2 present and the Commission resumes in general session.) 3 4 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: While normally at this 5 time we would not open it up for public comment, because 6 I know some of the people did not have the Busching 7 version before and have had a fair amount of time now to 8 look at it, I will open it up for public comment and any 9 further comments by people, even if you have spoken 10 before up to a two-minute period per person. 11 So, before we turn to Commissioners discussing 12 this, is there any other public comment? Sir. 13 MR. ROSEN: Yes, ma'am. 14 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Please come forward. 15 MR. ROSEN: Okay. I'd just like to reiterate 16 what I said earlier that the 99 the 91 cents a mile 17 would not open Pandora's box for reimbursement for a 18 private pilot. When you get anything over that, you're 19 going to create a problem where a private pilot then 20 will be subject to violating federal regulations, fines, 21 loss of license, and jail time. 91 cents, they're okay. 22 COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: Would it would it	1 COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: Shows 91. 2 MR. ROSEN: shows 91. But if it shows 92 3 and above 4 COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: You're in trouble. 5 MR. ROSEN: then that pilot is in trouble. 6 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay. 7 MR. ROSEN: Thank you very much for your time. 8 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Thank you. 9 COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: Thank you. 10 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Any other members of the public? Secretary of State Brewer? 12 MS. BREWER: Thank you, Madam Chair, members of the Commission. I'm a candidate for re-election to Secretary of State. I'm here today before you to remind you that the campaigns have started, the rules are printed, people have been following them. If you change those rules in the middle of the process, it's totally unfair and doesn't make for a level playing field. 19 Clean Elections was voted on and passed by the people to make a level playing field. The level playing field is when you start holding your workshops and teaching people what the rules were and what the
1 (Whereupon all members of the public are 2 present and the Commission resumes in general session.) 3 4 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: While normally at this 5 time we would not open it up for public comment, because 6 I know some of the people did not have the Busching 7 version before and have had a fair amount of time now to 8 look at it, I will open it up for public comment and any 9 further comments by people, even if you have spoken 10 before up to a two-minute period per person. 11 So, before we turn to Commissioners discussing 12 this, is there any other public comment? Sir. 13 MR. ROSEN: Yes, ma'am. 14 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Please come forward. 15 MR. ROSEN: Okay. I'd just like to reiterate 16 what I said earlier that the 99 the 91 cents a mile 17 would not open Pandora's box for reimbursement for a 18 private pilot. When you get anything over that, you're 19 going to create a problem where a private pilot then 20 will be subject to violating federal regulations, fines, 21 loss of license, and jail time. 91 cents, they're okay. 22 COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: Would it would it 23 work in the pilot's mind to cover them that you would	COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: Shows 91.  MR. ROSEN: shows 91. But if it shows 92  and above  COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: You're in trouble.  MR. ROSEN: then that pilot is in trouble.  CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay.  MR. ROSEN: Thank you very much for your time.  CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Thank you.  COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: Thank you.  CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Any other members of the public? Secretary of State Brewer?  MS. BREWER: Thank you, Madam Chair, members of the Commission. I'm a candidate for re-election to  Secretary of State. I'm here today before you to remind you that the campaigns have started, the rules are printed, people have been following them. If you change those rules in the middle of the process, it's totally unfair and doesn't make for a level playing field.  Clean Elections was voted on and passed by the people to make a level playing field. The level playing field is when you start holding your workshops and teaching people what the rules were and what the campaign reporting was required of them. They bring
1 (Whereupon all members of the public are 2 present and the Commission resumes in general session.) 3 4 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: While normally at this 5 time we would not open it up for public comment, because 6 I know some of the people did not have the Busching 7 version before and have had a fair amount of time now to 8 look at it, I will open it up for public comment and any 9 further comments by people, even if you have spoken 10 before up to a two-minute period per person. 11 So, before we turn to Commissioners discussing 12 this, is there any other public comment? Sir. 13 MR. ROSEN: Yes, ma'am. 14 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Please come forward. 15 MR. ROSEN: Okay. I'd just like to reiterate 16 what I said earlier that the 99 the 91 cents a mile 17 would not open Pandora's box for reimbursement for a 18 private pilot. When you get anything over that, you're 19 going to create a problem where a private pilot then 20 will be subject to violating federal regulations, fines, 21 loss of license, and jail time. 91 cents, they're okay. 22 COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: Would it would it	1 COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: Shows 91. 2 MR. ROSEN: shows 91. But if it shows 92 3 and above 4 COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: You're in trouble. 5 MR. ROSEN: then that pilot is in trouble. 6 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay. 7 MR. ROSEN: Thank you very much for your time. 8 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Thank you. 9 COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: Thank you. 10 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Any other members of the public? Secretary of State Brewer? 12 MS. BREWER: Thank you, Madam Chair, members of the Commission. I'm a candidate for re-election to Secretary of State. I'm here today before you to remind you that the campaigns have started, the rules are printed, people have been following them. If you change those rules in the middle of the process, it's totally unfair and doesn't make for a level playing field. 19 Clean Elections was voted on and passed by the people to make a level playing field. The level playing field is when you start holding your workshops and teaching people what the rules were and what the

Page 58

be playing by.

I respectfully request that you -- you think about that very, very seriously. You've had people that have been out there running their campaigns, following

the rules, doing exactly what they are supposed to have been doing, and now we're sitting in here changing the

7 rules.

2

3

8 You're not even dealing with candidates. We 9 have pilots here, God bless them, they want to volunteer. I appreciate that. I understand that. But, 10 you know, what are you going to do the next time a

12 printer comes in and wants to do printing and has 13 regulations or somebody has to do something else? This

is all special interest that is being put on the table

for you all to address.

16 We had elections two-and-a-half years ago with 17 the rules that you are trying to accommodate people with

18 today. If I recall correctly in that last statewide

19 election, there was absolutely no controversy whatsoever

20 about the travel either in an automobile or in an

21 airplane. It's because candidates get on and don't want

to comply by the rules. But they knew -- they knew what

23 the rules were when they started down this track -- this

24 track. And most of everyone complied by them.

25 So, I don't know how or what attempt you're 1 it's been looked into.

2 The other thing is I don't think it's clear in

3 what this proposal is. Say I have a 60-mile trip from

somewhere from my home -- say to Tucson from my Nogales

home, do I charge the first 40 miles or only the 20

miles? I mean, which is it? I don't know what the rule

is here.

13

14

8 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay. Appreciate your

9 comments. Thank you.

10 Anyone else? If not, we'll turn to the

11 Commissioners and Executive Director and see where we're

at for discussion and/or a motion.

Mr. Lang?

MR. LANG: While folks are gathering their

thoughts, Madame Chair, I thought I'd suggest a small

change to D in your proposal. D is in my mind

17 effectively a reiteration of my clause regarding if you

think you can't take this money then pay what you can

and reimburse the difference to the Commission. And

20 that's based in part on legal advice that we received

21 from Mr. Irwin a few weeks back that said that would not

22 violate FAA regulations.

23 But in D it says, "If an owner of

2.4 transportation or public accommodation is not

reimbursed" I suggest that after that you add the terms,

Page 59

going to do to notify everybody that the rules have

changed. And when complaints start coming in to you

3 all, you're going to have to go from this period of time

to this period of time and say, okay, these rules apply

for this filing -- part of their filing, and now these

rules apply for this and this time of filing, and it's

going to be total chaos. And, obviously, not very

popular with the candidates or with you, but more not

9 popular with the public.

10

11

Thank you, Madame Chairman.

CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Yes.

MS. SMITH FLOREZ: I'm Jan Smith Florez. I'm a

12 candidate in the Clean Elections -- I call it scheme and

that was taken not to be, but I just mean that in the

broadest sense of the term. I have two questions --

16 well, really one comment and one question.

17 I do not know, your plan here seems to be that 18 you get the money that can't go somewhere else, and I

don't know if under the statute you have the authority

to create your own funding source or do you have say

where that funding money is going? I mean, why do you

get to create a funding source? Well, if you can't pay

them, you can pay us. That's a little curious to me as

a person not just as a candidate. But maybe you have

that authority and maybe you don't, but I just wonder if

Page 61

"in whole or in part." So that it's clear it covers

those situations where folks are being paid, say in Mr.

Rosen's case, 99.5 cents a mile, they're not being paid

that whole amount, he's only going to accept 91 cents

under his view of the FAA regulations. That difference

would then be sent to the Clean Elections fund.

And I would also note to the Commission that's

a very tiny amount of money. Our goal isn't to raise

9 money. Our goal is to simply accommodate folks with

10 concerns about their FAA license.

11 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay. Thank you. I

12 would like to -- since I'm the author of it I'll -- I'll

13 chop this up a little bit.

14 I would like to suggest that we delete C(1)

15 from this and renumber C. And then similarly over in

E(1), delete the clause "of more than 80 miles." I'm

persuaded by the arguments that it's an expenditure,

18 it's a cost in the campaign and that we shouldn't be

19 getting into making arbitrary delineations of mileage.

20 So, if the will of the Commission is to go

21 forward with this proposal, I would recommend that we

22 make those two changes as well.

23 Commissioner Jolley?

COMMISSIONER JOLLEY: Yes. Were we going to

align ourselves with the same rule that we have on the

Page 64 Page 62 1 last line, these three years; "The information shall be 1 For letter D, were we going to asterisk the rule there, kept from three years from the date of the election." or the implication, or reminder to the candidates? CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: That's an issue as well. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Yes. We had talked We're looking in E(1), "The information shall be kept about the asterisks referencing ARS 16-941(A)(1) and for" -- right now it says two years and Commissioner 16-945, which would be the same asterisk over in C(2). Jolley is suggesting that we should say three years. 6 MR. LANG: Madame Chair, C(2) would now just Should we just say three years and delete the 7 become C for the record. rest of that clause then to keep it in conformance with 8 COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: Correct. 9 9 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Right. Right. And then the regulations? 10 COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: Does it even need to 10 the little tiny a, b, c, and d would be become one --11 be in there? 11 MR. LANG: Numbers. 12 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Delete the whole 12 COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: -- two, three. 13 sentence? 13 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Yeah. Any other 14 COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: Delete the whole 14 discussion on this proposal? 15 sentence I think would make it cleaner. 15 If not, the Chair will entertain a 16 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay. Other comments? 16 motion. 17 COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: Yes. 17 COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: I would move your 18 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Commissioner Kunasek? 18 suggestion --19 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay. COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: Back to your suggestion. 19 20 By deleting C(1) --20 COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: -- and also the other 21 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Uh-huh. 21 comments that have been made with regard to three years, 22 COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: -- and deleting, "of the "more than 80 miles" be stricken, and the citation 23 more than 80 miles," it would be my understanding then that Commissioner Jolley was interested in in paragraph 24 that any candidate that makes a trip across the street 2.4 E. I didn't note that citation --25 25 is going to have to keep a log of that trip? CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay. Page 65 Page 63 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: If it's campaign 1 COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: -- whatever that was. 2 2 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Is there a second? related. 3 COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: If it's campaign 3 COMMISSIONER JOLLEY: I will second that. related, the candidate must report the expenditure, but CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Commissioner Kunasek now he has to literally in reporting the expenditure moves and Commissioner Jolley seconds the comments from keep a log of even the shortest trips around the block. the Busching proposal dated March 29th, 2006 with the Is that what we intend to do? following amendments -- and if this is incorrect in my 8 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: That's open for statement, please help me out: In C(1), what was C(1), 9 discussion by the Commissioners. that would be deleted entirely; reporting cost would 10 COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: Walks across the then be re -- the sub numbers would be redone as one, 11 street, I don't think he can -two, three, and four rather than a, b, c, and d; in D we 12 COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: Shoe leather. would add "in whole or in part" after "not reimbursed" 13 COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: Yeah, shoe leather. in the second line; also in D we would asterisk the 14 That's about it. Not going to drive, you know. I think terms "in-kind contribution"; in E we would delete the that's going to be a moot point. But I just think in 1.5 clause "of more than 80 miles"; and also in E(1) we keeping with the basis of fairness and level playing 16 would delete the final sentence, "The information shall field, if he's going a mile then it's the same as going 17 be kept for two years" et cetera. 18 150 miles in my mind. 18 And I think I missed the other asterisks that 19 COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: So he must keep a log of 19 would be as part of the C, reporting cost reference. So 20 that mile? 20 that would be the other change. 21 21 COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: Correct. There had been some discussion about making the 22 COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: Okay. Thank you. 22 mileage reimbursement rate for airplanes a range like is 23 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay. Commissioner 23 set forth for automobiles. Is that part of motion or

24

25

not, Commissioner Kunasek?

COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: I didn't make that yet,

24 Jolley?

COMMISSIONER JOLLEY: Yes. Another question.

Page 66 Page 68 1 but I'm glad you reminded me of it. Because I think by asterisk referencing the two statutes; the little a, b, making it a range, we do protect those private pilots c, and d will be changed to one, two, three, and four; that might be in jeopardy from the FAA if -- if they the mileage reimbursement rate for airplanes shall have were not in there. the -- same type of language that has the mileage 5 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay. reimbursement rate for automobiles and will range from 6 COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: So I would just add the 91 cents to 99-and-a-half cents per nautical mile; language as we have in the automobile language which, paragraph D will have the words "in whole or in part" "where airplanes shall be a maximum of 99.5 cents a inserted after "not reimbursed"; it will also have the 9 minimum of 91 cents." in-kind contribution asterisk; paragraph E(1) will have CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: And Commissioner Jolley, 10 the deletion of the words "of more than 80 miles"; it 11 do you approve that friendly amendment? will have the deletion of the final sentence; and there 12 Or is that a friendly amendement? 12 in substantive policy 13, it will have the words 13 COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: Yeah, I hope so. 13 "reserved" beside it. 14 COMMISSIONER JOLLEY: Yes. 14 Did I miss anything? 15 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay. Any further 15 If not, all in favor say, "aye." 16 discussion on the motion? 16 (Chorus of ayes.) 17 Mr. Lang? 17 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Opposed, nay? 18 MR. LANG: Thank you, Madam Chair. I noted 18 Chair votes aye. Motion carries. that when you took this up you said you were considering 19 19 Thank you everyone that came and has spoken on 20 both agenda Items 11 and 13. 20 this. Your comments have been very much appreciated and 21 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Uh-huh. 21 we really appreciate the time that you've taken to be 22 MR. LANG: So given that 13 is now been amended involved in this process and I know it's been difficult 23 completely, I would ask the Commission to consider, 23 for everyone. 24 24 whether you want to do it now or separately, you know, Moving to agenda Item VI(D) No. 16, timely 25 amending 13 to just say "reserve" because now it's been 25 reimbursement of campaign expenditures by candidates, Page 69 Page 67 incorporated entirely into your new substantive policy campaign staff, and volunteers. 2 statement 11. 2 Mr. Lang? 3 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Commissioner Kunasek, do 3 MR. LANG: Thank you, Madame Chair. Having a 4 little trouble finding my spot. 5 COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: Yes. 5 Madame Chair, this has come up because of the 6 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Commissioner Jollev? way our rules work with in-kind contributions, COMMISSIONER JOLLEY: Yes. basically. And it came up -- actually it came to my 8 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay. All right. attention in the Ableser matter that we did that the 9 Further discussion? 9 Commission undertook a few month ago. 10 COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: I would now move the 10 When you have campaigns, you have staff out 11 Busching substantive policy --11 there who are making expenditures on behalf of the 12 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: We have that motion on campaigns. It becomes an in-kind contribution until 13 the floor. it's reimbursed, so you would have the potential for 14 COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: As amended? 14 violations. And what this proposal would do -- the best 15 COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: As amended. 15 practice, of course, is not to allow that to happen and 16 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Yeah. I know there are some campaigns that are operating that 17 COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: Okay. Missed that. way. Some statewide campaigns have very strict control 18 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: So, any further on campaign expenditures so that this issue doesn't 18 19 discussion? 19 arise at all. 20 If not, the Chair will call for the question, 20 One option for the Commission is, of course, 21 all in favor of the Busching -- comments from Busching 21 not to undertake this substantive policy statement for

23

just that reason. But as we've seen though, there are

notebook or something. So what this would do to

campaigns that have raised concerns about this. They

have a campaign staff out in Nogales that needs to buy a

dated March 29th, 2006 as amended. And I will try it

get everything: C(1) the paragraph is deleted; C(2) the

once again, just so the record is clear, to make sure I

25 reference to "in-kind contributions" shall have an

# Page 70

- 1 accommodate that on a limited basis and it to be --
- 2 allow this to occur as long as it's reimbursed within
- 3 the timeline we've set. That way campaigns can operate
- 4 and have have some flexibility. And so that's -- that's
- 5 the goal here with this proposal.
- 6 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Mr. Lang, is this
- 7 entirely new or is this a revision of something that
- 8 currently exists?
- 9 MR. LANG: As a substantive policy statement?
- 10 It's entirely new.
- 11 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay. Other questions
- 12 of Mr. Lang?
- 13 COMMISSIONER JOLLEY: I have a question.
- 14 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Commissioner Jolley?
- 15 COMMISSIONER JOLLEY: Tell me about how a
- 16 campaign uses credit cards, or they are not allowed to
- 17 use credit cards at all or personal credit cards, how
- 18 does that work?
- MR. LANG: Well, let me -- what the campaign is
- 20 supposed to do is -- the strong preference is for them
- 21 to pay directly to the expenditures -- right. Yeah.
- 22 And counsel has pointed out to me 948(C), which of
- 23 course requires that the campaign pay those -- make
- 24 those payments directly to the person receiving the
- 25 goods or services.

- COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: I haven't gone over the
- 2 statute. The paragraph five -- 16, subparagraph five,
- 3 the, "No expenditure shall be made without the advanced
- 4 authorization of the treasurer or it's designated
- agent." If the, say, the campaign worker, staff, is in
- -- away from the campaign headquarters and not able to
- 7 contact the treasurer, how are they going to work that
- 8 out?

9

21

2

- MR. LANG: Madame Chair, Commissioner Kunasek,
- 0 two things there. One is note that this is a
- restatement of the rule that already exists and it's put
- 12 in there simply to remind folks reading the substantive
- 13 policy statement that there is a rule there. So, it's
- 14 really not necessary. We could remove it.
- 15 Second you can get -- campaign give
- 16 authorizations to campaign workers all the time to do
- 17 that. The purpose of this is to -- so that campaigns
- 18 can't claim that this was an unauthorized expenditure in
- 19 order to dodge something, when in fact it was an
- 20 authorized expenditure.
  - COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: So the authorization
- 22 doesn't have to be specific to three-by-five cards or
- 23 something like that?
- 24 MR. LANG: No, they can give a generalized
- 25 authorization.

## Page 71

- The problem with a credit card is that's going
- 2 through the bank. Although it doesn't have the same
- 3 concerns we had in the subcontractor situation, the rule
- 4 is the same
- 5 So that's why our policy statement say that,
- you know, campaign checks, debit cards, or cash are the
   preferred way to do business because there's no danger
- 8 of violating 948(C).
- 9 And if you decide to undertake it, the idea is
- 10 here that we're going to make a tiny exception because
- 11 we understand the reality of the campaign. This
- 12 substantive policy statement comes, obviously, from
- 13 input we received from campaigns.
- Even though I brought it before you, I want you
- 15 to understand also that at least one major campaign has
- 16 undertaken a policy that just prohibits this. They can
- 17 only use campaign debit cards to make purchases because
- 18 they don't want to violate any of our rules.
- 19 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Other questions for Mr.
- 20 Lang?
- 21 If not, I'll ask, is there anyone from the
- 22 public that wishes to speak to this matter?
- Okay. Guess not. Then we'll turn to
- 24 discussion among the Commissioners.
- 25 Any discussion among the Commissioners?

# Page 73

- 1 COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: Okay. Thank you.
  - CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Other questions or
- 3 comments?
- I have spent considerable time on this rule or
- 5 this substantive policy statement, and I -- after trying
- 6 to see if I wanted to rewrite it in a different fashion
- 7 or anything, I decided that there was so much in here
- 8 that was already in the statutes and rules that I am
- 9 inclined to not approve going forward with anything on
- 10 this. And also keeping in mind comments that we've had
- 11 from the public about trying to minimize the changes
- 12 during the election cycle.
- So my personal opinion is that contrary to the
- 14 Executive Director, I would not approve it.
- 15 MR. LANG: That's fine.
- 16 COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: Well, I would share your
- 7 concern based on my previous comments of waiting until
- the next election cycle to make -- I don't know if these
- 19 are considered changes or it's just a new statement, but
- 20 I would -- I would agree with you that we not do it at
- 21 this time or if we do it, make it implemented at the
- 22 next election cycle.
- 23 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay. Any other
- 24 comments?
  - If not, the Chair will entertain a

Page 74 Page 1 motion. MR. LANG: Matching funds. We issue matching 2 COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: I would -funds right up to the end because there's a lot of game 3 COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: We don't want a 3 playing right before election day. So, to best serve negative motion, so if there's just no motion it just our candidates we have to be able to issue matching 5 funds on election day. dies. 6 COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: That's fine. 6 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: On election day itself? 7 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay. Sounds fine. MR. LANG: The marginal utlity there is 8 MR. LANG: Thank you for that. 8 reduced, but there may be -- may be other matters as 9 COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: You don't want a 9 well. 10 10 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay. no-confidence vote? 11 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Take note it just died 11 COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: My question is --12 for this -- for this meeting. 12 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: So you come down and 13 All right. Moving on to Item VII, discussion 13 camp that day. 14 and possible action on future meeting dates. 14 COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: Just set up 15 Mr. Lang? 15 residency. 16 MR. LANG: Madame Chair, Commissioners. You 16 COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: My question is on 17 have before you a nice, colorful agenda item -- thank 17 December what do the airplanes mean? Are we going on sabbatical then, or are we taking a trip for the good you for the little graph there, Paula -- of proposed meeting dates. And I realize that there are quite a few 19 work, or what? 20 there. 20 MR. LANG: The 20th is just the date that 21 What I would suggest you keep in mind is, 21 normally comes up. number one, matching funds are going to take a great 22 COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: The airplanes in deal of your time as we get close to the elections, both 23 December. 24 the primary and the general. And the second is CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Is it COGEL? 25 commissioners are generally hard folks to schedule. And COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: Second, third, fourth, Page 77 Page 75 so if we set these dates --1 fifth. 2 COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: What do you mean by 2 MR. LANG: I didn't know. 3 that? 3 MS. ORTIZ: It's the COGEL trip in New Orleans. 4 COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: Is that a slam? CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: COGEL is the Council on 5 MR. LANG: It's mostly a compliment. Governmental Ethics Laws. COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: Is that a slam? 6 6 COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: Okav. MR. LANG: You're very busy folks in your CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: It's a conference that a communities. portion of the staff and all the Commissioners typically 8 8 MR. SCARAMAZZO: We ignore one of your 9 go to. So that's why that's listed there as -recommendations and you came right after us with this. 10 COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: I see. Okay. 11 MR. LANG: In fact, I'd like to add a few 11 Well, I agree with your comments. It is 12 dates. 12 difficult. We should note these meeting dates and with 13 And so the goal here is to basically reserve the ability to participate by telephone, that should put 14 these dates so you don't book them in your own personal 14 us all on notice. calendars. And then down the road if we find we don't 15 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: So is that a motion that need these dates, you can always cancel a meeting. But 16 we approve them? my guess is you'll find you need these dates because of 17 COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: That's discussion. 18 matching funds. But a few will go away. 18 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay. 19 So that's the proposal here that we have these 19 COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: I'll move that we 20 so that we have some dates we know we can get together. 20 accept this. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Mr. Lang, I had a 2.1 21 COMMISSIONER JOLLEY: I'll second. 22 question about the November 1st, 6th and 7th. The 6th 22 CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: It's been moved by 23 is, as I looked at the calendar, is the day before Commissioner Scaramazzo and seconded by Commissioner 24 election day and the 7th is election day. And what was Jolley that we approve the regular and tentative special

meeting dates for the months of July through December as

25 the intent in that regard?

	Page 78		Page 80
1	proposed by staff.	1	in the packet.
2	All in favor say "aye."	2	COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: Okay.
3	(Chorus of ayes.)	3	CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Is it your desire of the
4	CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Opposed, nay?	4	Commissioners to table this to the next meeting?
5	Chair votes aye. Motion carries.	5	COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: So moved.
6	Agenda Item VIII, discussion and possible	6	COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: Second.
7	action on process for Executive Director review. This	7	CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Mr. Lang, do you have
8	matter was put on the agenda because it's our	8	any problem with that?
9	recollection that when we hired the Executive Director,	9	MR. LANG: No.
10	we said that along about six months we would do a	10	CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay. It's been
11	review.	11	motion has been made to table agenda Item VIII to the
12	You were presented with a performance	12	next meeting.
13	evaluation that had been used with the prior Executive	13	All in favor say "aye."
14	Director with merely the name of the Executive Director	14	(Chorus of ayes.)
15	changed and dates changed, and then a second review form	15	CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Opposed, nay?
16	that is a more open-ended form for comments and	16	Chair votes aye. Motion carries.
17	everything.	17	Item IX, call for public comment. This is the
18	And I thought today that we would discuss the	18	time for consideration and comment and complaints from
19	procedures of how we go about doing the evaluation so	19	the public. Action taken as a result of public comment
20	that we could at one of our soon future or one of our	20	will be limited to directing staff to study the matter,
21	future meetings actually perform the evaluation based	21	or rescheduling the matter for further consideration or
22	upon a process that we would set up today.	22	decision at a later date, or responding to criticism.
23	MS. VARELA: Commissioner Busching, if I may?	23	Is there anyone from the public that wishes to
24	Commissioners, I think Commissioner Busching has already	24	speak? Please.
25	stated this, but I just to want to make it clear what	25	MS. BREWER: Thank you, Madam Chair. Jan
	. 3		•
	Page 79		Page 81
	Page 79		Page 81
1	she wants to talk about today is the process. So there	1	Brewer, candidate for re-election to Secretary of State.
2	she wants to talk about today is the process. So there shouldn't be any discussion to anything in particular to	2	Brewer, candidate for re-election to Secretary of State.  In reference to your policy changes, or rule
2	she wants to talk about today is the process. So there shouldn't be any discussion to anything in particular to Todd, because there are special notice requirements that	2 3	Brewer, candidate for re-election to Secretary of State.  In reference to your policy changes, or rule changes, or administrative policy changes, I would like
2 3 4	she wants to talk about today is the process. So there shouldn't be any discussion to anything in particular to Todd, because there are special notice requirements that have to be done when it's an actual evaluation.	2 3 4	Brewer, candidate for re-election to Secretary of State.  In reference to your policy changes, or rule changes, or administrative policy changes, I would like to at least be advised of when they became effective and
2 3 4 5	she wants to talk about today is the process. So there shouldn't be any discussion to anything in particular to Todd, because there are special notice requirements that have to be done when it's an actual evaluation.  So I just want to make it very clear, you're	2 3 4 5	Brewer, candidate for re-election to Secretary of State.  In reference to your policy changes, or rule changes, or administrative policy changes, I would like to at least be advised of when they became effective and the date, because we have candidates out there traveling
2 3 4	she wants to talk about today is the process. So there shouldn't be any discussion to anything in particular to Todd, because there are special notice requirements that have to be done when it's an actual evaluation.  So I just want to make it very clear, you're talking now about how you are going to do the evaluation	2 3 4 5 6	Brewer, candidate for re-election to Secretary of State.  In reference to your policy changes, or rule changes, or administrative policy changes, I would like to at least be advised of when they became effective and the date, because we have candidates out there traveling as we sit here speaking. And at 11:10 on today's date
2 3 4 5 6 7	she wants to talk about today is the process. So there shouldn't be any discussion to anything in particular to Todd, because there are special notice requirements that have to be done when it's an actual evaluation.  So I just want to make it very clear, you're talking now about how you are going to do the evaluation and the evaluation will happen at a later date and we	2 3 4 5 6 7	Brewer, candidate for re-election to Secretary of State.  In reference to your policy changes, or rule changes, or administrative policy changes, I would like to at least be advised of when they became effective and the date, because we have candidates out there traveling as we sit here speaking. And at 11:10 on today's date you made the motion. And so are they in compliance or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	she wants to talk about today is the process. So there shouldn't be any discussion to anything in particular to Todd, because there are special notice requirements that have to be done when it's an actual evaluation.  So I just want to make it very clear, you're talking now about how you are going to do the evaluation and the evaluation will happen at a later date and we will make those notice and meeting requirements.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Brewer, candidate for re-election to Secretary of State.  In reference to your policy changes, or rule changes, or administrative policy changes, I would like to at least be advised of when they became effective and the date, because we have candidates out there traveling as we sit here speaking. And at 11:10 on today's date you made the motion. And so are they in compliance or out of compliance? And when I leave should I take my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	she wants to talk about today is the process. So there shouldn't be any discussion to anything in particular to Todd, because there are special notice requirements that have to be done when it's an actual evaluation.  So I just want to make it very clear, you're talking now about how you are going to do the evaluation and the evaluation will happen at a later date and we will make those notice and meeting requirements.  MR. LANG: Even praise?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Brewer, candidate for re-election to Secretary of State.  In reference to your policy changes, or rule changes, or administrative policy changes, I would like to at least be advised of when they became effective and the date, because we have candidates out there traveling as we sit here speaking. And at 11:10 on today's date you made the motion. And so are they in compliance or out of compliance? And when I leave should I take my odometer meter? And then should I be able to be in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	she wants to talk about today is the process. So there shouldn't be any discussion to anything in particular to Todd, because there are special notice requirements that have to be done when it's an actual evaluation.  So I just want to make it very clear, you're talking now about how you are going to do the evaluation and the evaluation will happen at a later date and we will make those notice and meeting requirements.  MR. LANG: Even praise?  COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: Limited.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Brewer, candidate for re-election to Secretary of State.  In reference to your policy changes, or rule changes, or administrative policy changes, I would like to at least be advised of when they became effective and the date, because we have candidates out there traveling as we sit here speaking. And at 11:10 on today's date you made the motion. And so are they in compliance or out of compliance? And when I leave should I take my odometer meter? And then should I be able to be in the position to share with, at least the people that I know,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	she wants to talk about today is the process. So there shouldn't be any discussion to anything in particular to Todd, because there are special notice requirements that have to be done when it's an actual evaluation.  So I just want to make it very clear, you're talking now about how you are going to do the evaluation and the evaluation will happen at a later date and we will make those notice and meeting requirements.  MR. LANG: Even praise?  COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: Limited.  CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Commissioner Scaramazzo?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Brewer, candidate for re-election to Secretary of State.  In reference to your policy changes, or rule changes, or administrative policy changes, I would like to at least be advised of when they became effective and the date, because we have candidates out there traveling as we sit here speaking. And at 11:10 on today's date you made the motion. And so are they in compliance or out of compliance? And when I leave should I take my odometer meter? And then should I be able to be in the position to share with, at least the people that I know, that I've attended here, what we have accomplished?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	she wants to talk about today is the process. So there shouldn't be any discussion to anything in particular to Todd, because there are special notice requirements that have to be done when it's an actual evaluation.  So I just want to make it very clear, you're talking now about how you are going to do the evaluation and the evaluation will happen at a later date and we will make those notice and meeting requirements.  MR. LANG: Even praise?  COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: Limited.  CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Commissioner Scaramazzo?  COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: Pardon?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Brewer, candidate for re-election to Secretary of State.  In reference to your policy changes, or rule changes, or administrative policy changes, I would like to at least be advised of when they became effective and the date, because we have candidates out there traveling as we sit here speaking. And at 11:10 on today's date you made the motion. And so are they in compliance or out of compliance? And when I leave should I take my odometer meter? And then should I be able to be in the position to share with, at least the people that I know, that I've attended here, what we have accomplished?  And if I could get a copy of that as soon as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	she wants to talk about today is the process. So there shouldn't be any discussion to anything in particular to Todd, because there are special notice requirements that have to be done when it's an actual evaluation.  So I just want to make it very clear, you're talking now about how you are going to do the evaluation and the evaluation will happen at a later date and we will make those notice and meeting requirements.  MR. LANG: Even praise?  COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: Limited.  CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Commissioner Scaramazzo?  COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: Pardon?  CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Would you like to offer	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Brewer, candidate for re-election to Secretary of State.  In reference to your policy changes, or rule changes, or administrative policy changes, I would like to at least be advised of when they became effective and the date, because we have candidates out there traveling as we sit here speaking. And at 11:10 on today's date you made the motion. And so are they in compliance or out of compliance? And when I leave should I take my odometer meter? And then should I be able to be in the position to share with, at least the people that I know, that I've attended here, what we have accomplished?  And if I could get a copy of that as soon as possible because I, too, will be watching to see that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	she wants to talk about today is the process. So there shouldn't be any discussion to anything in particular to Todd, because there are special notice requirements that have to be done when it's an actual evaluation.  So I just want to make it very clear, you're talking now about how you are going to do the evaluation and the evaluation will happen at a later date and we will make those notice and meeting requirements.  MR. LANG: Even praise?  COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: Limited.  CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Commissioner Scaramazzo?  COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: Pardon?  CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Would you like to offer some thoughts?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Brewer, candidate for re-election to Secretary of State.  In reference to your policy changes, or rule changes, or administrative policy changes, I would like to at least be advised of when they became effective and the date, because we have candidates out there traveling as we sit here speaking. And at 11:10 on today's date you made the motion. And so are they in compliance or out of compliance? And when I leave should I take my odometer meter? And then should I be able to be in the position to share with, at least the people that I know, that I've attended here, what we have accomplished?  And if I could get a copy of that as soon as possible because I, too, will be watching to see that everybody complies with the rules and regulations to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	she wants to talk about today is the process. So there shouldn't be any discussion to anything in particular to Todd, because there are special notice requirements that have to be done when it's an actual evaluation.  So I just want to make it very clear, you're talking now about how you are going to do the evaluation and the evaluation will happen at a later date and we will make those notice and meeting requirements.  MR. LANG: Even praise?  COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: Limited.  CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Commissioner Scaramazzo?  COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: Pardon?  CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Would you like to offer some thoughts?  COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: No, I'm just having a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Brewer, candidate for re-election to Secretary of State.  In reference to your policy changes, or rule changes, or administrative policy changes, I would like to at least be advised of when they became effective and the date, because we have candidates out there traveling as we sit here speaking. And at 11:10 on today's date you made the motion. And so are they in compliance or out of compliance? And when I leave should I take my odometer meter? And then should I be able to be in the position to share with, at least the people that I know, that I've attended here, what we have accomplished?  And if I could get a copy of that as soon as possible because I, too, will be watching to see that everybody complies with the rules and regulations to the best of their ability.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	she wants to talk about today is the process. So there shouldn't be any discussion to anything in particular to Todd, because there are special notice requirements that have to be done when it's an actual evaluation.  So I just want to make it very clear, you're talking now about how you are going to do the evaluation and the evaluation will happen at a later date and we will make those notice and meeting requirements.  MR. LANG: Even praise?  COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: Limited.  CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Commissioner Scaramazzo?  COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: Pardon?  CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Would you like to offer some thoughts?  COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: No, I'm just having a dialogue here.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Brewer, candidate for re-election to Secretary of State.  In reference to your policy changes, or rule changes, or administrative policy changes, I would like to at least be advised of when they became effective and the date, because we have candidates out there traveling as we sit here speaking. And at 11:10 on today's date you made the motion. And so are they in compliance or out of compliance? And when I leave should I take my odometer meter? And then should I be able to be in the position to share with, at least the people that I know, that I've attended here, what we have accomplished?  And if I could get a copy of that as soon as possible because I, too, will be watching to see that everybody complies with the rules and regulations to the best of their ability.  So, I don't know if you're at liberty today to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	she wants to talk about today is the process. So there shouldn't be any discussion to anything in particular to Todd, because there are special notice requirements that have to be done when it's an actual evaluation.  So I just want to make it very clear, you're talking now about how you are going to do the evaluation and the evaluation will happen at a later date and we will make those notice and meeting requirements.  MR. LANG: Even praise?  COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: Limited.  CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Commissioner Scaramazzo?  COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: Pardon?  CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Would you like to offer some thoughts?  COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: No, I'm just having a dialogue here.  CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: We'd like your thoughts.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Brewer, candidate for re-election to Secretary of State.  In reference to your policy changes, or rule changes, or administrative policy changes, I would like to at least be advised of when they became effective and the date, because we have candidates out there traveling as we sit here speaking. And at 11:10 on today's date you made the motion. And so are they in compliance or out of compliance? And when I leave should I take my odometer meter? And then should I be able to be in the position to share with, at least the people that I know, that I've attended here, what we have accomplished?  And if I could get a copy of that as soon as possible because I, too, will be watching to see that everybody complies with the rules and regulations to the best of their ability.  So, I don't know if you're at liberty today to tell me when it is effective.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	she wants to talk about today is the process. So there shouldn't be any discussion to anything in particular to Todd, because there are special notice requirements that have to be done when it's an actual evaluation.  So I just want to make it very clear, you're talking now about how you are going to do the evaluation and the evaluation will happen at a later date and we will make those notice and meeting requirements.  MR. LANG: Even praise?  COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: Limited.  CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Commissioner Scaramazzo?  COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: Pardon?  CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Would you like to offer some thoughts?  COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: No, I'm just having a dialogue here.  CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: We'd like your thoughts.  COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: I got to tell you,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Brewer, candidate for re-election to Secretary of State.  In reference to your policy changes, or rule changes, or administrative policy changes, I would like to at least be advised of when they became effective and the date, because we have candidates out there traveling as we sit here speaking. And at 11:10 on today's date you made the motion. And so are they in compliance or out of compliance? And when I leave should I take my odometer meter? And then should I be able to be in the position to share with, at least the people that I know, that I've attended here, what we have accomplished?  And if I could get a copy of that as soon as possible because I, too, will be watching to see that everybody complies with the rules and regulations to the best of their ability.  So, I don't know if you're at liberty today to tell me when it is effective.  CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Well, in accordance with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	she wants to talk about today is the process. So there shouldn't be any discussion to anything in particular to Todd, because there are special notice requirements that have to be done when it's an actual evaluation.  So I just want to make it very clear, you're talking now about how you are going to do the evaluation and the evaluation will happen at a later date and we will make those notice and meeting requirements.  MR. LANG: Even praise?  COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: Limited.  CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Commissioner Scaramazzo?  COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: Pardon?  CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Would you like to offer some thoughts?  COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: No, I'm just having a dialogue here.  CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: We'd like your thoughts.  COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: I got to tell you, I'm looking for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Brewer, candidate for re-election to Secretary of State.  In reference to your policy changes, or rule changes, or administrative policy changes, I would like to at least be advised of when they became effective and the date, because we have candidates out there traveling as we sit here speaking. And at 11:10 on today's date you made the motion. And so are they in compliance or out of compliance? And when I leave should I take my odometer meter? And then should I be able to be in the position to share with, at least the people that I know, that I've attended here, what we have accomplished?  And if I could get a copy of that as soon as possible because I, too, will be watching to see that everybody complies with the rules and regulations to the best of their ability.  So, I don't know if you're at liberty today to tell me when it is effective.  CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Well, in accordance with public comment, we're limited to directing staff to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	she wants to talk about today is the process. So there shouldn't be any discussion to anything in particular to Todd, because there are special notice requirements that have to be done when it's an actual evaluation.  So I just want to make it very clear, you're talking now about how you are going to do the evaluation and the evaluation will happen at a later date and we will make those notice and meeting requirements.  MR. LANG: Even praise?  COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: Limited.  CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Commissioner Scaramazzo?  COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: Pardon?  CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Would you like to offer some thoughts?  COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: No, I'm just having a dialogue here.  CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: We'd like your thoughts.  COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: I got to tell you,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Brewer, candidate for re-election to Secretary of State.  In reference to your policy changes, or rule changes, or administrative policy changes, I would like to at least be advised of when they became effective and the date, because we have candidates out there traveling as we sit here speaking. And at 11:10 on today's date you made the motion. And so are they in compliance or out of compliance? And when I leave should I take my odometer meter? And then should I be able to be in the position to share with, at least the people that I know, that I've attended here, what we have accomplished?  And if I could get a copy of that as soon as possible because I, too, will be watching to see that everybody complies with the rules and regulations to the best of their ability.  So, I don't know if you're at liberty today to tell me when it is effective.  CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Well, in accordance with public comment, we're limited to directing staff to study the matter or rescheduling the matter for further
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	she wants to talk about today is the process. So there shouldn't be any discussion to anything in particular to Todd, because there are special notice requirements that have to be done when it's an actual evaluation.  So I just want to make it very clear, you're talking now about how you are going to do the evaluation and the evaluation will happen at a later date and we will make those notice and meeting requirements.  MR. LANG: Even praise?  COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: Limited.  CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Commissioner Scaramazzo?  COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: Pardon?  CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Would you like to offer some thoughts?  COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: No, I'm just having a dialogue here.  CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: We'd like your thoughts.  COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: I got to tell you, I'm looking for  COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: That's what I'm looking	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Brewer, candidate for re-election to Secretary of State.  In reference to your policy changes, or rule changes, or administrative policy changes, I would like to at least be advised of when they became effective and the date, because we have candidates out there traveling as we sit here speaking. And at 11:10 on today's date you made the motion. And so are they in compliance or out of compliance? And when I leave should I take my odometer meter? And then should I be able to be in the position to share with, at least the people that I know, that I've attended here, what we have accomplished?  And if I could get a copy of that as soon as possible because I, too, will be watching to see that everybody complies with the rules and regulations to the best of their ability.  So, I don't know if you're at liberty today to tell me when it is effective.  CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Well, in accordance with public comment, we're limited to directing staff to study the matter or rescheduling the matter for further consideration. So, I'm sure Mr. Lang will study the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	she wants to talk about today is the process. So there shouldn't be any discussion to anything in particular to Todd, because there are special notice requirements that have to be done when it's an actual evaluation.  So I just want to make it very clear, you're talking now about how you are going to do the evaluation and the evaluation will happen at a later date and we will make those notice and meeting requirements.  MR. LANG: Even praise?  COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: Limited.  CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Commissioner Scaramazzo?  COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: Pardon?  CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Would you like to offer some thoughts?  COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: No, I'm just having a dialogue here.  CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: We'd like your thoughts.  COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: I got to tell you, I'm looking for  COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: That's what I'm looking for.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Brewer, candidate for re-election to Secretary of State.  In reference to your policy changes, or rule changes, or administrative policy changes, I would like to at least be advised of when they became effective and the date, because we have candidates out there traveling as we sit here speaking. And at 11:10 on today's date you made the motion. And so are they in compliance or out of compliance? And when I leave should I take my odometer meter? And then should I be able to be in the position to share with, at least the people that I know, that I've attended here, what we have accomplished?  And if I could get a copy of that as soon as possible because I, too, will be watching to see that everybody complies with the rules and regulations to the best of their ability.  So, I don't know if you're at liberty today to tell me when it is effective.  CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Well, in accordance with public comment, we're limited to directing staff to study the matter or rescheduling the matter for further
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	she wants to talk about today is the process. So there shouldn't be any discussion to anything in particular to Todd, because there are special notice requirements that have to be done when it's an actual evaluation.  So I just want to make it very clear, you're talking now about how you are going to do the evaluation and the evaluation will happen at a later date and we will make those notice and meeting requirements.  MR. LANG: Even praise?  COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: Limited.  CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Commissioner Scaramazzo?  COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: Pardon?  CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Would you like to offer some thoughts?  COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: No, I'm just having a dialogue here.  CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: We'd like your thoughts.  COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: I got to tell you, I'm looking for  COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: That's what I'm looking for.  COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: a copy of that. I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Brewer, candidate for re-election to Secretary of State.  In reference to your policy changes, or rule changes, or administrative policy changes, I would like to at least be advised of when they became effective and the date, because we have candidates out there traveling as we sit here speaking. And at 11:10 on today's date you made the motion. And so are they in compliance or out of compliance? And when I leave should I take my odometer meter? And then should I be able to be in the position to share with, at least the people that I know, that I've attended here, what we have accomplished?  And if I could get a copy of that as soon as possible because I, too, will be watching to see that everybody complies with the rules and regulations to the best of their ability.  So, I don't know if you're at liberty today to tell me when it is effective.  CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Well, in accordance with public comment, we're limited to directing staff to study the matter or rescheduling the matter for further consideration. So, I'm sure Mr. Lang will study the matter and report as appropriate.

	Page 82		Page 84
1	today's date at 11:10. Thank you.	1	CERTIFICATE
2	COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: Thank you.	2	
3	CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Any other members of the	3	I, Angela Furniss Miller, Certified Reporter,
4	public wish to give comment?	4	do hereby certify that the foregoing pages numbered 1
5	Sir. Your name, please.	5	through 83, inclusive, constitute a full and accurate
6	MR. BREWER: Michael Brewer. I'm just a little	6	printed record of my stenographic notes taken at said
7	concerned about how policy, new policy 11 got adopted	7	time and place, all done to the best of my skill and
8	today. My understanding was that the new 11 was	8	ability.
9	combining 11, 12, and 13. As we recognize, we reserved	9	DATED, at Phoenix, this 27th day of April,
10	13. 12 was supposed to be incorporated into 11, which	10	2006.
	not having the time to actually verify if it was a	11	
11		12	
12	hundred-percent incorporated or not, at least in your	13	
13	policy statement you still have a new 11 and you still		Angela Furniss Miller, RPR
14	have a 12.	14	Certified Reporter (AZ50127)
15	MR. LANG: That's right.	15	1 (
16	MS. VARELA: That's right.	16	
17	MR. BREWER: I personally think that creates	17	
18	confusion for volunteers and candidates to read. If	18	
19	they just read 12 and also read 11, that they could	19	
20	argue that there's some inconsistency there, because 12	20	
21	certainly doesn't contain everything that's in 11.	21	
22	I just wanted to raise that.	22	
23	CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Thank you.	23	
24	COMMISSIONER SCARAMAZZO: Thank you.	24	
25	CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Mr. Lang	25	
	5 00		
Г	Page 83	Г	
1	Page 83 MR. LANG: I will	Г	
1 2		Γ	
	MR. LANG: I will	Γ	
2	MR. LANG: I will CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: study that matter		
2	MR. LANG: I will CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: study that matter MR. LANG: study that.		
2 3 4	MR. LANG: I will CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: study that matter MR. LANG: study that. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: and bring it before		
2 3 4 5	MR. LANG: I will CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: study that matter MR. LANG: study that. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: and bring it before us as appropriate.		
2 3 4 5	MR. LANG: I will CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: study that matter MR. LANG: study that. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: and bring it before us as appropriate. MR. LANG: Uh-huh.		
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. LANG: I will CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: study that matter MR. LANG: study that. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: and bring it before us as appropriate. MR. LANG: Uh-huh. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay. Any other members		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. LANG: I will CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: study that matter MR. LANG: study that. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: and bring it before us as appropriate. MR. LANG: Uh-huh. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay. Any other members of the public wish to speak?		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. LANG: I will CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: study that matter MR. LANG: study that. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: and bring it before us as appropriate. MR. LANG: Uh-huh. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay. Any other members of the public wish to speak? If not, move to agenda Item XI. Is there a		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. LANG: I will CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: study that matter MR. LANG: study that. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: and bring it before us as appropriate. MR. LANG: Uh-huh. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay. Any other members of the public wish to speak? If not, move to agenda Item XI. Is there a motion for adjournment?		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. LANG: I will CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: study that matter MR. LANG: study that. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: and bring it before us as appropriate. MR. LANG: Uh-huh. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay. Any other members of the public wish to speak? If not, move to agenda Item XI. Is there a motion for adjournment? COMMISSIONER JOLLEY: Motion.		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. LANG: I will CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: study that matter MR. LANG: study that. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: and bring it before us as appropriate. MR. LANG: Uh-huh. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay. Any other members of the public wish to speak? If not, move to agenda Item XI. Is there a motion for adjournment? COMMISSIONER JOLLEY: Motion. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Second?		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. LANG: I will CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: study that matter MR. LANG: study that. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: and bring it before us as appropriate. MR. LANG: Uh-huh. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay. Any other members of the public wish to speak? If not, move to agenda Item XI. Is there a motion for adjournment? COMMISSIONER JOLLEY: Motion. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Second? COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: Second. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: It's been moved by		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. LANG: I will CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: study that matter MR. LANG: study that. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: and bring it before us as appropriate. MR. LANG: Uh-huh. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay. Any other members of the public wish to speak? If not, move to agenda Item XI. Is there a motion for adjournment? COMMISSIONER JOLLEY: Motion. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Second? COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: Second. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: It's been moved by Commissioner Jolley and seconded by Commissioner Kunasek		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. LANG: I will CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: study that matter MR. LANG: study that. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: and bring it before us as appropriate. MR. LANG: Uh-huh. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay. Any other members of the public wish to speak? If not, move to agenda Item XI. Is there a motion for adjournment? COMMISSIONER JOLLEY: Motion. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Second? COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: Second. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: It's been moved by Commissioner Jolley and seconded by Commissioner Kunasek that we adjourn.		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. LANG: I will CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: study that matter MR. LANG: study that. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: and bring it before us as appropriate. MR. LANG: Uh-huh. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay. Any other members of the public wish to speak? If not, move to agenda Item XI. Is there a motion for adjournment? COMMISSIONER JOLLEY: Motion. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Second? COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: Second. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: It's been moved by Commissioner Jolley and seconded by Commissioner Kunasek that we adjourn. All in favor, say "aye."		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. LANG: I will CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: study that matter MR. LANG: study that. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: and bring it before us as appropriate. MR. LANG: Uh-huh. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay. Any other members of the public wish to speak? If not, move to agenda Item XI. Is there a motion for adjournment? COMMISSIONER JOLLEY: Motion. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Second? COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: Second. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: It's been moved by Commissioner Jolley and seconded by Commissioner Kunasek that we adjourn. All in favor, say "aye." (Chorus of ayes.)		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. LANG: I will CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: study that matter MR. LANG: study that. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: and bring it before us as appropriate. MR. LANG: Uh-huh. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay. Any other members of the public wish to speak? If not, move to agenda Item XI. Is there a motion for adjournment? COMMISSIONER JOLLEY: Motion. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Second? COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: Second. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: It's been moved by Commissioner Jolley and seconded by Commissioner Kunasek that we adjourn. All in favor, say "aye." (Chorus of ayes.) CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Opposed, nay?		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. LANG: I will CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: study that matter MR. LANG: study that. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: and bring it before us as appropriate. MR. LANG: Uh-huh. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay. Any other members of the public wish to speak? If not, move to agenda Item XI. Is there a motion for adjournment? COMMISSIONER JOLLEY: Motion. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Second? COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: Second. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: It's been moved by Commissioner Jolley and seconded by Commissioner Kunasek that we adjourn. All in favor, say "aye." (Chorus of ayes.) CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Opposed, nay? Chair votes aye. Motion carries.		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. LANG: I will CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: study that matter MR. LANG: study that. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: and bring it before us as appropriate. MR. LANG: Uh-huh. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay. Any other members of the public wish to speak? If not, move to agenda Item XI. Is there a motion for adjournment? COMMISSIONER JOLLEY: Motion. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Second? COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: Second. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: It's been moved by Commissioner Jolley and seconded by Commissioner Kunasek that we adjourn. All in favor, say "aye." (Chorus of ayes.) CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Opposed, nay? Chair votes aye. Motion carries. This meeting is adjourned.		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. LANG: I will CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: study that matter MR. LANG: study that. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: and bring it before us as appropriate. MR. LANG: Uh-huh. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay. Any other members of the public wish to speak? If not, move to agenda Item XI. Is there a motion for adjournment? COMMISSIONER JOLLEY: Motion. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Second? COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: Second. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: It's been moved by Commissioner Jolley and seconded by Commissioner Kunasek that we adjourn. All in favor, say "aye." (Chorus of ayes.) CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Opposed, nay? Chair votes aye. Motion carries. This meeting is adjourned. COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: Thank you.		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. LANG: I will CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: study that matter MR. LANG: study that. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: and bring it before us as appropriate. MR. LANG: Uh-huh. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay. Any other members of the public wish to speak? If not, move to agenda Item XI. Is there a motion for adjournment? COMMISSIONER JOLLEY: Motion. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Second? COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: Second. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: It's been moved by Commissioner Jolley and seconded by Commissioner Kunasek that we adjourn. All in favor, say "aye." (Chorus of ayes.) CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Opposed, nay? Chair votes aye. Motion carries. This meeting is adjourned. COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Thank you.		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. LANG: I will CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: study that matter MR. LANG: study that. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: and bring it before us as appropriate. MR. LANG: Uh-huh. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Okay. Any other members of the public wish to speak? If not, move to agenda Item XI. Is there a motion for adjournment?  COMMISSIONER JOLLEY: Motion. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Second? COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: Second. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: It's been moved by Commissioner Jolley and seconded by Commissioner Kunasek that we adjourn. All in favor, say "aye." (Chorus of ayes.) CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Opposed, nay? Chair votes aye. Motion carries. This meeting is adjourned. COMMISSIONER KUNASEK: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON BUSCHING: Thank you. (Whereupon the proceeding concludes at 11:36		